ESS9 edition 3.1 (published 17.02.21):
Applies to datafile ESS9 edition 3.1.

Changes from edition 3.0:

25 Version notes: Information updated for ESS9 ed.3.1 data.
26 Completeness of collection stored: Information updated for ESS9 ed.3.1 data.

ESS9 edition 3.0 (published 10.12.20):
Applies to datafile ESS9 edition 3.0.

Changes from edition 2.0:

Additional countries:
Denmark, Iceland.

10 Universe: Corrected: Denmark added.
25 Version notes: Information updated for ESS9 ed.3.0 data.
26 Completeness of collection stored: Information updated for ESS9 ed.3.0 data.

Czechia:
46 Deviations: Amended: Typo in variable names CNTBRTHD and CTZSHIPD corrected.

Finland:
46 Deviations: Amended: Typo in variable names CNTBRTHD, CTZSHIPD, FBRNCNTC and MBRNCNTC corrected.

Ireland:
46 Deviations: Amended: Typo in variable names CNTBRTHD, CTZSHIPD, FBRNCNTC and MBRNCNTC corrected.

Italy:
46 Deviations: Amended: Typo in variable names CNTBRTHD, CTZSHIPD, FBRNCNTC and MBRNCNTC corrected.

Poland:
46 Deviations: Amended: Typo in variable names CNTBRTHD, FBRNCNTC and MBRNCNTC corrected.

Portugal:
46 Deviations: Amended: Typo in variable names CNTBRTHD, CTZSHIPD, FBRNCNTC and MBRNCNTC corrected.

Slovenia:
46 Deviations: Amended: Typo in variable names CNTBRTHD, CTZSHIPD, FBRNCNTC and MBRNCNTC corrected.

Spain:
46 Deviations: Amended: Typo in variable names CNTBRTHD and CTZSHIPD corrected.

Sweden:
46 Deviations: Amended: Typo in variable names CNTBRTHD, CTZSHIPD, FBRNCNTC and MBRNCNTC corrected.

Switzerland:
46 Deviations: Amended: Typo in variable names CNTBRTHD, FBRNCNTC and MBRNCNTC corrected.
United Kingdom:
46 Deviations: Amended: Typo in variable names CNTBRTHD, CTZSHIPD, FBRNCNTC and MBRNCNTC corrected.

ESS9 edition 2.0 (published 15.06.20):
Applies to datafile ESS9 edition 2.0.

Changes from edition 1.3:

Additional countries:
Croatia, Latvia, Lithuania, Montenegro, Portugal, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden.

21 Weighting: Information updated to include post-stratification weights and analysis weights.
25 Version notes: Information updated for ESS9 ed.2.0 data.
26 Completeness of collection stored: Information updated for ESS9 ed.2.0 data.

Belgium:
44.2 Ineligibles: Amended: Information corrected.

Bulgaria
46 Deviations: Amended: IDNO updated for cases flagged for item non response.

Finland:
44.2 Ineligibles: Amended: Information corrected.

France:
43.3 Employment status of interviewers: Amended: Information corrected.
46 Deviations: Amended: IDNO updated for cases flagged for item non response.

Germany:
43.9 Pretest: Amended: Number of pretest interviews corrected.
46 Deviations: Amended: IDNO updated for cases flagged for item non response.

Hungary:
39 Field work period(s): Amended: Information correctd.
46 Deviations: Amended: IDNO updated for cases flagged for item non response.

Slovenia:
43.4 Payment of interviewers: Amended: Information corrected.

ESS9 edition 1.3 (published 30.01.20):
Applies to datafile ESS9 edition 1.2.

Changes from edition 1.2:

25 Version notes: Information updated for ESS9 ed.1.2 data.
26 Completeness of collection stored: Information updated for ESS9 ed.1.2 data.
32 Deposit requirement: As registration in the ESS on-line bibliography no longer is required, item 32 has been removed from the Documentation Report.

Bulgaria:
46 Deviations: Amended: Annotation on timing variables corrected.

Switzerland:
46 Deviations: Amended: Annotation on CAPI error in variable C25 (LNGHOM2) added.

ESS9 edition 1.2 (published 02.12.19):
Applies to datafile ESS9 edition 1.1.

Changes from edition 1.1:

All countries: Item 47.1 "Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire" updated with new outcome codes and response rate.

ESS9 edition 1.1 (published 11.11.19):
Applies to datafile ESS9 edition 1.1.

Changes from edition 1.0:

25 Version notes: Information updated for ESS9 ed.1.1 data.
26 Completeness of collection stored: Information updated for ESS9 ed.1.1 data.

Italy:
46 Deviations: Amended: Filter error in variables D8 (BTHCLD) and D12 (NGCHLD) added.

Netherlands:
46 Deviations: Amended: Deviation in timing variables added.

ESS9 edition 1.0 (published 31.10.19):
Includes data from Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czechia, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Serbia, Slovenia, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

Documentation (Country Reports):
Table 47.1 in item 47 in the Country Reports lists interim numbers. Final numbers and response rates will be published by the end of November 2019.

Variables:
PSPWGHT: Post-stratification weights will be added in a later release in the spring of 2020.

C32 (TESTHC32) - C40 (TESTHC40): These variables are part of the test variables (MTMM experiments) and will be published in a separate test data file along with variables I1 (TESTH11) - I9 (TESTH19) from the I section.
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Introduction

The ESS9-2018 Documentation Report is a comprehensive document aimed primarily to accompany and document the data from the main questionnaire in this round of The European Social Survey.

The report has two main sections. Firstly the Study description, containing information regarding the study itself, the study frame, key persons and institutions, access to the ESS data, a summary description of the data file, and legal aspects concerning the conditions of use of the ESS data.

Secondly the report contains Country reports, providing country specific information on data collector, funding agencies, sampling procedures, fieldwork procedures, response rates and other country specific study related materials.

In addition, the report has nine Appendices available as separate documents. Appendix A1 contains documentation of the measurement of educational attainment, Appendix A2 documentation of the income measure, Appendix A3 documentation of political parties, and Appendix A4 documentation of legal marital and relationship status. Appendix A5 contains country by country population statistics, Appendix A6 contains documentation of classifications and standards used in the ESS9-2018 and Appendix A7 contains the ESS9 codebook. Appendix A8 contains general information on the ESS Ancestry measure, as well as country specific information on showcards and mapping to the harmonised code frame. Appendix A9 contains some general information on the justice and fairness module and country specific information on some key variables in this module.

We would particularly urge all users to familiarise themselves with the Legal aspects found under items 28 Restrictions, 29 Citation Requirement, 30 Disclaimer and 32 Deposit Requirement.

The ESS Data Team at NSD
Study Description

1 Title
ESS9-European Social Survey Round 9 2018/2019

2 Alternative title
ESS9-2018

3 ID Number
ESS9e03.1

4 Bibliographic Citation

5 Abstract
The European Social Survey (ESS) is an academically-driven multi-country survey, which has been administered in over 35 countries to date. Its three aims are, firstly – to monitor and interpret changing public attitudes and values within Europe and to investigate how they interact with Europe's changing institutions, secondly – to advance and consolidate improved methods of cross-national survey measurement in Europe and beyond, and thirdly – to develop a series of European social indicators, including attitudinal indicators.

In the ninth round, the survey covers 30 countries and employs the most rigorous methodologies. From Round 7 it is funded by the Members, Observers and Guests of ESS European Research Infrastructure Consortium (ESS ERIC) who represent national governments. Participating countries directly fund the central coordination costs of the ESS ERIC, as well the costs of fieldwork and national coordination in their own country.

The survey involves strict random probability sampling, a minimum target response rate of 70% and rigorous translation protocols. The hour-long face-to-face interview includes questions on a variety of core topics repeated from previous rounds of the survey and also two modules developed for Round 9 covering Justice and Fairness in Europe, and the Timing of Life (the latter is a partial repeat of a module from Round 3).

6 Topic classification
social trust; political interest and participation; socio-political orientations; social exclusion; national, ethnic and religious allegiances; timing of key life events and the life course; distributive and procedural justice and fairness; human values; demographics and socioeconomics

7 Keywords
trust; politics; social values; social exclusion; discrimination; religion; national identity; life course; justice and fairness

8 Time method
Cross-section

9 Unit of analysis
Individual

10 Universe
All persons aged 15 and over resident within private households, regardless of their nationality, citizenship, language or legal status, in the following participating countries: European Union countries: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czechia, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom
Non-European Union countries: Albania, Iceland, Montenegro, Norway, Serbia,
Switzerland.

11 Countries
Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czechia, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Montenegro, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom

Key Persons and Institutions
Core Scientific Team, consisting of: Rory Fitzgerald (PI and Director, ESS ERIC), Sarah Butt, Eric Harrison, Lorna Ryan, Salima Douhou, Luca Salini and Niccolò Ghirelli: ESS ERIC Headquarters at City, University of London, UK.
Geert Loosveldt and Celine Wuyts: Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (KU Leuven), Belgium.
NSD - Norwegian Centre for Research Data, Norway.
Angelika Scheuer, Achim Koch, Verena Halbherr, Brita Dorer, Roberto Briceño-Rosas and Jan-Lucas Schanze: GESIS, Germany.
Ineke Stoop, Joost Kappelhof and Sander Steijn: The Netherlands Institute for Social Research (SCP), Netherlands.
Brina Malnar: University of Ljubljana, Slovenia.
Peter Lynn: University of Essex, United Kingdom.

12 Authoring entity/PI
Rory Fitzgerald, PI and Director, ESS ERIC, ESS ERIC Headquarters at City, University of London, UK together with the Core Scientific Team and the National Coordinator from each participating country:
Alban Nelaj, Albania
Johannes Pollak and Peter Grand, Institute of Advanced Studies, Austria
Bart Meuleman, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
Patrick Italiano, University of Liège, Belgium
Lilia Dimova, Agency for Social Analyses, Bulgaria
Dragan Bagić, University of Zagreb, Croatia
Marios Vryonides, European University Cyprus, Cyprus
Klára Plecitá Vlachová, Institute of Sociology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Czechia
Torben Fridberg, VIVE – The Danish Center for Social Science Research, Denmark
Mare Ainsaar, University of Tartu, Estonia
Heikki Ervasti, University of Turku, Finland
Anne Cornilleau and Simon Le Corgne, Sciences Po, France
Oshrat Hochman, GESIS, Germany
Bence Ságvári, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Hungary
Sigrún Ólafsdóttir, University of Iceland, Iceland
Philip O’Connell, University College Dublin, Ireland
Cristiano Vezzoni, University of Milan, Italy
Sveva Balduini, INAPP, Italy
Juris Ņikišins, University of Latvia, Latvia
Aurelijus Stelmokienė, Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania
Olivera Komar, University of Montenegro, Montenegro
Gerbert Kraaykamp, Radboud University Nijmegen, Netherlands
Kristen Ringdal, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU, Norway
Franciszek Sztabiński and Paweł Sztabiński, Institute of Philosophy and Sociology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland
Alice Ramos, Institute of Social Sciences (ICS), University of Lisbon, Portugal
Dragan Stanojević, University of Belgrade, Serbia
Jozef Výrost, Institute of Social Sciences, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Slovakia
14 Other Acknowledgements
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The ESS ERIC Finance Committee, chaired by Joe Ellery.

The Scientific Advisory Board, chaired by Piet Bracke.

The Methods Advisory Board, chaired by Patrick Sturgis.
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15 File name

ESS9e03_1

16 Kind of data

Survey data

17 File contents

Data from the Main questionnaire. See Appendix A7 Codebook.

18 File structure

Rectangular

19 Overall case count

49519

20 Overall variable count

572

21 Weighting

DESIGN WEIGHTS:
The purpose of the design weights (DWEIGHT) is to correct for unequal probabilities for selection due to the sampling design used.

In general design weights were computed for each country as follows.

1. \( w = 1/(PROB1\times\ldots\times PROBk) \) is a \( nx1 \) vector of weights; \( k \) depends on the number of stages of the sampling design.

2. All weights were rescaled in a way that the sum of the final weights equals \( n \), i.e. Rescaled weights = \( n^*w/\text{sum}(w) \).
POST-STRATIFICATION WEIGHTS: The purpose of the post-stratified design weights (PSPWGHT) is to reduce sampling error and non-response bias, using auxiliary information. The post-stratification targets use information about age, gender, education and region. Raking (iterative proportional fitting) has been used in the production of the post-stratified weights, using the ipfweight procedure in Stata v15. For most countries population targets are weighted estimates from the European Union Labour Force Survey 2018 (Eurostat). Exceptions are Republic of Serbia, and Montenegro, for which targets were obtained from the respective national statistical office websites.

ANALYSIS WEIGHTS: The analysis weight (ANWEIGHT) corrects for population size when combining two or more countries’ data, and is calculated as ANWEIGHT=PSPWGHT*PWEIGHT. When analysing data from a single country, or comparing countries, use of ANWEIGHT or PSPWGHT should give identical results.

POPULATION SIZE WEIGHTS:
The Population size weight (PWEIGHT) corrects for population size when combining two or more country’s data, and is calculated as PWEIGHT=[Population aged 15 years and over]/[(Net sample in data file)*10 000]
Source population figures: Eurostat.

22 Extent of processing checks
The data file is checked for: duplication of ID numbers, variable names and formats, empty records, wild codes and logical inconsistencies. Missing values have been assigned.

23 Missing data
The following missing values have been assigned:
6, 66 etc. = Not applicable
7, 77 etc. = Refusal
8, 88 etc. = Don’t know
9, 99 etc. = No answer, i.e. Missing data not elsewhere explained
. = Variable not relevant, not deposited, or for other reason omitted from the data file by the archive.

24 Version
ESS9 edition 3.1

25 Version notes
ESS9 edition 3.1 (published 17.02.21):
Changes from edition 3.0

Changes in country data:
Croatia:
F61 (ANCTRY1): Variable recoded for 14 cases to reflect updated bridging to ESS10 European standard classification of cultural and ethnic groups.
F61 (ANCTRY2): Variable recoded for 25 cases to reflect updated bridging to ESS10 European standard classification of cultural and ethnic groups.

Hungary:
B38 (IMSMETN), B39 (IMDFETN): Variables for Hungary have been omitted from integrated file.
(PSU): Variable for Hungary has been corrected.

ESS9 edition 3.0 (published 10.12.20):
Changes from edition 2.0
Additional countries:
Denmark, Iceland.

Changes in variables:
(DOMAIN): Variable has been added.
(PSU): Variable has been recalculated.
(STRATUM): Variable has been recalculated.
F15 (EISCED): All cases with value "." (sysmis) (104) have been assigned the correct missing value (66 - 99).
F29 (WKHCT): All cases with value "." (sysmis) (8887) have been assigned the correct missing value (66 - 99).
F30 (WKHTOT): All cases with value "." (sysmis) (6976) have been assigned the correct missing value (66 - 99).
F44 (EISCEDP): All cases with value "." (sysmis) (20559) have been assigned the correct missing value (66 - 99).
F51 (WKHTOTP): All cases with value "." (sysmis) (31819) have been assigned the correct missing value (66 - 99).
F52 (EISCEDF): All cases with value "." (sysmis) (4079) have been assigned the correct missing value (66 - 99).
F56 (EISCEDM): All cases with value "." (sysmis) (2614) have been assigned the correct missing value (66 - 99).

ESS9 edition 2.0 (published 15.06.20):
Changes from edition 1.2

Additional countries:
Croatia, Latvia, Lithuania, Montenegro, Portugal, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden.

Changes in variables:
(IDNO): IDNOs for ESS9 edition 1.2 countries have been changed (Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czechia, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Serbia, Slovenia, Switzerland and United Kingdom).
(PSPWGHT): Post-stratification weight has been added.
(ANWEIGHT): Analysis weight has been added.
(STRATUM): Variable has been added.
(PSU): Variable has been added.
(PROB): Variable has been added.

Changes in country data:
Hungary:
37 interviews have been deleted due to poor interview quality.
DWEIGHT: Rescaled to reflect new net sample.

ESS9 edition 1.2 (published 30.01.20):
Changes from edition 1.1

Changes in country data:
Bulgaria:
INWDDS, INWSSHH, INWSSMM, INWDDE, INWEHH, INWEMM and INWTM: Variables for Bulgaria have been omitted from integrated file.
Cyprus:
F29 (WKHCT), F30 (WKHTOT): Variables for Cyprus have been omitted from integrated file.

Norway:
INWDDS, INWSHH, INWEHH, INWTM: Variables for Norway have been corrected.

United Kingdom:
VTEUMBGB: Variable has been included in the integrated data file.

ESS9 edition 1.1 (published 11.11.19):
Changes from edition 1.0

Changes in variables:
Variable label and values have been corrected for variable AGEA.

ESS9 edition 1.0 (published 31.10.19):
Includes data from Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Czechia, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Serbia, Slovenia, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

Variables:
PSPWGHT: Post-stratification weights will be added in a later release in the spring of 2020.

C32 (TESTHC32) - C40 (TESTHC40): These variables are part of the test variables (MTMM experiments) and will be published in a separate test data file along with variables I1 (TESTH11) - I9 (TESTH19) from the I section.

AUSTRIA:
ANONYMISATION:
F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPTNYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.
F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

BELGIUM:

DEVIAITION:

F2 (GNDR11-GNDR15): This question is not asked for more than 10 household members due to error in the CAPI script.

F3 (YRBRN11-YRBRN15): This question is not asked for more than 10 household members due to error in the CAPI script.

F4 (RSHIPA11-RSHIPA15): This question is not asked for more than 10 household members due to error in the CAPI script.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn): 
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

BULGARIA:

DEVIAITION:

Timing Variables: Due to large inconsistencies, it was not possible to calculate interview time for the Bulgarian respondents. Variables INWDDS, INWSHH, INWSMM, INWDDE, INWEHH, INWEMM and INWTM have thus been omitted from the integrated file.

ITEM NON-RESPONSE:

8 cases (IDNO: 1406, 3917, 6075, 12959, 15015, 16738, 34710, 43274) have more
than 50% Refusal, Don't know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:

C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999) by national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPNTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

CROATIA:

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:

C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999) by national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted)
and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children,
  YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing
  (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR):
All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

CYPRUS:

DEVIAION:

F29 (WKHCT), F30 (WKHTOT): Filter error. 457 of 681 respondents in paid work have
not been asked these questions. The data from the variable have been omitted from
the integrated data file, but the variables have been renamed WKHCTCY and
WKHTOTCY and are available from a separate country-specific file for Cyprus.

F27 (WKDCORGA), F28 (IORGACT): Filter error. For 79 cases valid answers for these
variables have been recorded despite the fact that the respondent has reported not
being, and never having been in paid work (PDWRK = 0, CRPDWK = 2, PDJOBEV =
2).

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52
(EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEF), F56 (EDULVMLB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying
national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see
Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMIZATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-
RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted)
  and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children,
  YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing
  (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.
D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR):
All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

CZECHIA:

DEVIAITION:

G10a (GRSPLET): Instrument error. Categories deviates from intended values in such a degree that the variable has been omitted from the international file. The variables is renamed to GRSPLCZ and available from a national file for Czechia.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR):
All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

DENMARK:

DEVIAITION:

F45a (PDWRKP-DNGNAP), F45c (MNACTP), F46 (CRPDWKP): Filter error. All respondents selecting more than one activity at F45a, but not PDWRKP, were routed to F45c and from there to F52 (EDULVLFB) rather than to F46 (CRPDWKP).

ANONYMISATION:

C28 (FRRCNCTC), C30 (MRRCNCTC): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (99 "No answer") by the national team.
F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHM MB, YBRN, YBRN2-YBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YBRN2-YBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHM MB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

ESTONIA:

DEVIA TION:

F18 (CRPDWK), F46 (CRPDWKP): Questions were included in interviews, but due to a CAPI error, the data was not recorded. Variables recreated based on subsequent filter variables are available in a separate country specific file for Estonia.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHM MB, YBRN, YBRN2-YBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YBRN2-YBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHM MB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.
FINLAND:

DEVIAITION:

INWEHH, INWEMM, INWTM: For 80 cases values cannot be calculated due to error in timing variables.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): The underlying national questionnaire item (Showcard) has changed since previous round. For more information see Appendix A8 Ancestry.

ANONYMISATION:

C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (99 "No answer") by the national team.

C25 (LNGHOM1, LNGHOM2): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (99 "No answer") by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNCTC), C30 (MBRNCNCTC): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (99 "No answer") by the national team.

F1-F4 (HHMMB, GNDR- GNDRN, YRBRN- YRBRNN, RSHIPA2- RSHIPAN): A few substantive responses of the household grid variables (GNDR- GNDRN, YRBRN- YRBRNN, RSHIPA2- RSHIPAN) have been recoded to missing value by the national team. In a few cases variable HHMMB has been top coded.

F6 (RSHPSTS), F11 (MARSTS): A number of substantive responses have been recoded to more common categories by the national team.

F33-34a (ISCO08), F47-49 (ISCO08P): A number of substantive responses have been rounded to more common occupations by the national team.

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): A number of substantive responses have been recoded to missing (999999 "No answer") by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.
D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

FRANCE:

DEVIATION:

D12 (NGCHLD) Filter error: All respondents coded with value 7777 or 8888 on D10 (FCLDBRN) have erroneously been asked question D12.

D14 (GGCHLD) Filter error: All respondents coded with value 7777 or 8888 on D13 (YGCDBYR) have erroneously been asked question D14.

ITEM NON-RESPONSE:

One case (IDNO: 34439) has more than 50% Refusal, Don't know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): The underlying national questionnaire item (Showcard) has changed since previous round.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNN, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNN is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNN and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.
GERMANY:

ITEM NON-RESPONSE:

One case (IDNO: 51112) has more than 50% Refusal, Don't know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

ANONYMISATION:

C12, C14 (RLGDNM, RLGDNME): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

D5 (LVPTNYR): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (9999) by the national team.

D10 (FCLDBRN): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (9999) by the national team.

D12 (NGCHLD): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (99 "No answer") by the national team.

G9a, G11a/b/c (GRSPNUM, NETINUM): These variables have been top coded by the national team.

F33-34a (ISCO08): A few codes at four-digit level (unit groups) have been aggregated to two-digit level (sub-major groups).

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

HUNGARY:

DEVIAITON:
B38 (IMSMETN), B39 (IMDFETN): Due to translation error in questionnaire, the data from IMSMETN and IMDFETN have been omitted from the integrated file.

F55 (OCCF14B) Filter error: All respondents coded with value 7 on F53 (EMPRF14) have erroneously been asked question F55.

F59 (OCCM14B) Filter error: All respondents coded with value 7 on F57 (EMPRM14) have erroneously been asked question F59.

Ha-Hu (IPCRTIV-IMPFUN): Data for question Ha (IPCRTIV) through Hu (IMPFUN) is missing (99) for 41 interviews due to poor interview quality.

INWEHH, INWEMM, INWTM: For a large number of cases values cannot be calculated due to error in timing variables.

ITEM NON-RESPONSE:

Two cases (IDNO: 11940, 54659) has more than 50% Refusal, Don't know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

REGION: In Hungary the NUTS2 and NUTS3 regions have changed since previous round of ESS (2016).

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

ICELAND:

DEVIATION:

D12 (NGCHLD) and D14 (GGCHLD): Filter error: These questions have not been
asked to respondents whose first child or grandchild was born in 2003 (D10 (FCLDBRN) or D13 (YGCDBYR)).

F2 (GNDR) and F3 (YRBRN): These questions have erroneously not been asked to 4 (GNDR and YRBRN)/11 (YRBRN) respondents respectively. Information about gender and age for these cases is based on information from the contact form data file (IDNOS 110589, 127981, 142275, 147162 and 76880, 88793, 96039, 113900, 115119, 115610, 128392, 139460, 141718, 147740).

G8a/b/c (INFQBST), G9a (GRSPNUM) and G11a/b/c (NETINUM): Filter error: All respondents coded with value 9 on G8 (FVGABC) have erroneously been asked/information has been filled in for questions G8a/b/c, G9a and G11a/b/c.

G9a (GRSPNUM): Filter error: All respondents coded with value 2 or 3 on G8 (FVGABC) have erroneously been asked question G9a due to an error in the CAPI script.

G12a/b/c (NETILET): Filter error: 19 respondents with valid values at G11a/b/c (NETINUM) have erroneously been asked question G12a/b/c due to an error in the CAPI script.

G14a/b/c (NETIFR): Filter error: 8 respondents with value 0 at G11a/b/c (NETINUM) have erroneously been asked question G14a/b/c due to an error in the CAPI script.

G16a/b/c (FRLNETI): Filter error: 6 respondents with value 0 at G14a/b/c (NETIFR) have erroneously been asked question G16a/b/c due to an error in the CAPI script.

G16a/b/c (FRLNETI): Filter error: G16a and b have erroneously not been asked to respondents with values other than 0 on G14a and b (NETIFR) due to an error in the CAPI script.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.
IRELAND:

DEVIAION:

Ha-Hu (IPCRTIV-IMPFUN): Data for question Ha (IPCRTIV) through Hu (IMPFUN) is missing (99) for 15 interviews due to poor interview quality.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

REGION: In Ireland the NUTS2 and NUTS3 regions have changed since previous round of ESS (2016). The revisions to the Irish NUTS 2 and NUTS 3 regions are documented here: https://www.cso.ie/en/methods/revnuts23/

ANONYMISATION:

C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBRTHd): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNNTC) C30 (MBRNCNNTC): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

C25 (LNGHOM1, LNGHOM2): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPNTYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSNV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

ITALY:

DEVIAION:
D8 (BTHCLD), D12 (NGCHLD): Filter error. Cases with refusal or don’t know on questions D8 and D12 have valid answers on questions D9 (NBTHCLD) - D14 (GGCHLD).

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:

C12 (RLGDNM): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBRTHd): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

C25 (LNGHOM1, LNGHOM2): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNCTC), C30 (MBRNCNCTC): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

F22 (EMPLNO): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): A number of substantive responses have been aggregated to broader categories by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.
LATVIA:

DEVIATION:

F2 (GNDR): Substantial under-representation of male respondents in Latvian net-sample.

F3 (YRBRN), F3 (AGEA): Lower age cut-off for the Latvian sample was 16.

F11 (MARSTS): Filter error. All respondents not living with husband/wife/partner at F4 (RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn) have been routed past MARSTS. Variable is available in a separate country specific file in the Latvian country section.

G14 (GGCHLD): Filter error. Item fielded only to respondents whose first child was born in 2002 and earlier. According to filter instructions, countries starting fieldwork in 2019 should ask this questions to respondents whose first child was born in 2003 or earlier.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

LITHUANIA:

ANONYMISATION:
F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

MONTENEGRO:

INTAGEA: Information on age of interviewers not retrievable.

ANONYMISATION

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

NETHERLANDS:
DEVIAITION:

D34a/b (ADVCYC): This question was erroneously translated in the Dutch questionnaire to "aged under 3", instead of "aged under 12". Thus there are no data for D34 a/b in the integrated file for the Netherlands in ESS9, but the data for the erroneously translated question is available in a separate country specific file for the Netherlands.

INWSHH, INWSMM, INWEHH, INWEMM, INWTM: For 191 cases values cannot be calculated due to error in timing variables.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

NORWAY:

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.
D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

POLAND:

DEVIATION:

Ha-Hu (IPCRTIV-IMPFUN): Data for question Ha (IPCRTIV) through Hu (IMPFUN) is missing (99) for 16 interviews due to poor interview quality.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:

C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

C25 (LNGHOM1): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNCTC), C30 (MBRNCNCTC): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): A number of substantive responses have been aggregated to broader categories by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPTNYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.
D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

PORTUGAL:

DEVIAION:

F13 (CHLDHHE): Filter error. Question has been asked to the wrong subset of respondents. All cases reporting that they live with children in F4 (RSHIPAn) variable, have been given this question. All cases reporting that they do not live with children (no code 2 in any F4 variable) have been routed past question F13 (CHLDHHE).

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F61 (ANCTR1 and ANCTR2): The underlying national questionnaire item (Showcard) has changed since previous round.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YBRRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C21 (CTZSHIPD): Variable agregated to broader groups.

C23 (CNTBRTHD): Variable agregated to broader groups.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

C28, C30 (FBRNCNTC, MBRNCNTC): Variable agregated to broader groups.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

SERBIA:

DEVIAION:
G16a/b/c (FRLNETI): Filter error. 129 respondents who should not have had this question, have been routed to this question due to an error in the CAPI script. Thus, this question have substantive data for additionally 129 interviews.

Ha-Hu (IPCRTIV-IMPFUN): Data for question Ha (IPCRTIV) through Hu (IMPFUN) is missing (99) for 6 interviews due to poor interview quality.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMBB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMBB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

SLOVAKIA:

DEVIATIONS:

D12 (NGCHLD) and D14 (GGCHLD): Filter error: These questions have not been asked to respondents whose first child or grandchild was born in 2003 (D10 (FCLDBRN) or D13 (YGCDBYR)).

G15a (FRLGRSP): 12 cases did not get this question due to error in the CAPI script.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA/YRBRN): A few substantive responses have been substituted by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMBB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted)
and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

SLOVENIA:

DEVIATION:

F47-49 (ISCO08P), F50 (EMPRELP), F51 (WKHTOTP): Due to an error in the CAPI program, 141 respondents which should have been routed to variables F47-F51 were erroneously routed past these variables. Of these 141 respondents, 71 were successfully re-interviewed. However, 70 records still have missing data for ISCO08P, EMPRELP and WKHTOTP.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:

C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNCTC), C30 (MBRNCNCTC): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to broader categories by the national team.

REGION: A value for a few substantive responses have been substituted by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted)
and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSVP): Variables have been top coded to 500.

SPAIN:

DEVATIONS:

D29b (TOWKHT): Filter error. Data for this question is missing for 40 interviews due to a filter error in the Catalan version of the Spanish questionnaire.

IINWEHH, IINWEMM, INWTM: For 187 cases values cannot be calculated due to error in timing variables.

ITEM NON-RESPONSE:

One case (IDNO: 69548) has more than 50% Refusal, Don't know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): The underlying national questionnaire item (Showcard) has changed since previous round.

ANONYMISATION:

C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive answers have been aggregated to a broader category by national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.
C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

SWEDEN:

DEVIATIONS:

D12 (NGCHLD), D14 (GGCHLD): Filter error: Respondents answering refusal or don’t know on questions D8 to D11, or D13, were not routed away from questions D12 and D14 as they should have been.

ITEM NON-RESPONSE:

Two cases (IDNO: 16519, 49400) has more than 50% Refusal, Don’t know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): The underlying national questionnaire item (Showcard) has changed since previous round.

ANONYMISATION:

C21 (CTZHIPD): For some cases value has been aggregated to a higher level.

C23 (CNTBRTD): For some cases value has been aggregated to a higher level.

C28, C30 (FBRNCNCTC, MBRNCNCTC): For some cases value has been aggregated to a higher level.

F3 (AGEA): For some cases the value has been rounded. Variable is top coded to 90.

F61 (ANCTRY1 ANCTRY2): For some cases value has been aggregated to a higher level.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.
D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

SWITZERLAND:

DEVIATION:

C25 (LNGHOM2): due to administrative change in capi between round 8 and 9, the share of respondents reporting use of a second language at home is substantially lower in round 9 compared to round 8.

ANONYMISATION:

C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNCTC), C30 (MBRNCNCTC): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

F2 (GNDRn) F3 (YRBRNn): A few substantive answers have been substituted by the national team.

F26 (NJBSV): A few substantive answers have been top coded by the national team.

F31 (NACER2): A few substantive answers have been substituted by the national team.

F33-34a (ISCO08), F47-49 (ISCO08P): A number of substantive responses have been rounded to more common occupations by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPTNYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.
D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

UNITED KINGDOM:

DEVIATION:

D14 (GGCHLD): Filter error. 31 respondents, who should not have had this question, have been routed to this question due to an error in the CAPI script. Thus, this question has substantive data for additionally 31 interviews.

F61 (ANCTRY1, ANCTRY2): In cases where respondents have mentioned two ancestries, these are recorded randomly (not in the order in which they were mentioned). Thus, ANCTRY1 is not necessarily the first answer given. For this reason, there is a difference in the distribution of responses between ESS round 8 and 9.

Ha-Hu (IPCRTIV-IMPFUN): Data for question Ha (IPCRTIV) through Hu (IMPFUN) is missing (99) for 4 interviews due to poor interview quality.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:

C12 (RLGDNM, RLGDNGB) C14 (RLGDNME, RLGDEGB): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999) by the national team.

C25 (LNGHOM1, LNGHOM2): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999) by the national team.

C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999), or been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNTC), C30 (MBRNCNTC): A few substantive responses have been coded to missing (9999), or been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

F31 (NACER2): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999) by the national team.

F33-34a (ISCO08), F47-49 (ISCO08P): A number of substantive responses have been rounded to more common occupations by the national team.

F61 (ANCTRY1, ANCTRY2): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999) by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.
Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBPV): Variables have been top coded to 500. A few substantive answers have also been set to missing (9999) by the national team.

27 Distributor
NSD - Norwegian Centre for Research Data, Harald Hårfagresgt. 29, N-5007 Bergen, Norway.
Phone: +47 55 58 21 17
Fax: +47 55 58 96 50
e-mail: nsd@nsd.no

Legal Aspects
The data are available without restrictions, for not-for-profit purposes.

28 Restrictions

29 Citation requirement
Citation of data:
European Social Survey Round 9 Data (2018). Data file edition 3.1. NSD - Norwegian Centre for Research Data, Norway - Data Archive and distributor of ESS data for ESS ERIC

Citation of documentation:

To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in the footnotes or in the reference section of publications.

30 Disclaimer
The ESS ERIC, Core Scientific Team (CST) and the producers bear no responsibility for the uses of the ESS data, or for interpretations or inferences based on these uses. The ESS ERIC, CST and the producers accept no liability for indirect, consequential or incidental damages or losses arising from use of the data collection, or from the unavailability of, or break in access to the service for whatever reason.

31 Confidentiality
In accordance with data protection regulations in participating countries, only anonymous data are available to users. Before depositing data to NSD, each national team is responsible for checking their data with confidentiality in mind and to undertake
the necessary measures to ensure anonymity of the data files and to foresee that anonymity is also maintained after merging of data files.
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33 Data collector
IFES - Institut für empirische Sozialforschung
Teinfaltstraße 8
1010 Wien

34 Depositor
Peter Grand, National coordinator.

35 Funding agency
Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research
Austrian Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs, Health and Consumer Protection

36 Grant number

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named: No
HH_BIRTH: Yes
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named: No
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Contact form from Field work organisation: No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
German

39 Field work period(s)
18.09.18 - 12.01.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round?
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: No

ESS9 - 2018 Documentation Report Edition 3.1
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes

NUTS level in data file NUTS 2

**Geographic units in dataset:**

AT11 - Burgenland
AT12 - Niederösterreich
AT13 - Wien
AT21 - Kärnten
AT22 - Steiermark
AT31 - Oberösterreich
AT32 - Salzburg
AT33 - Tirol
AT34 - Vorarlberg

41 Geographic coverage

Austria

42 Sampling procedure

Sampling frame: Austrian Postal Service address register, data.door

Sampling design:

A two-domain design is used.

The first domain is Vienna. The 23 districts of Vienna are used as strata. Within these strata a total of 1,056 addresses are selected (unclustered sample), allocated proportionately to strata. At each address one target person is selected using the last-birthday method.

All other municipalities form the second domain. The municipalities are stratified according to NUTS3 regions (34) and size of municipality (4), resulting in 99 non-empty strata. A three stage design is applied in this domain. 350 PSUs (Zählsprengel) are selected at the first stage with probability proportional to number of addresses and with allocation to strata proportional to number of addresses in the stratum. Within either PSU, either 8 or 12 addresses (depending on the stratum) are selected with equal probabilities. Finally, one target person is selected within each household using the last-birthday method.

42.1 Use of opt-out list

Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No

When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 119
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 80
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 119
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country?

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: Yes
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: Yes
Other: Yes

If bonus arrangement or other, please specify:
travel expenses (based on kilometers), bonus payments for high response rates, bonus payments for timely conducting interviews after assignment

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:
43.6 Call schedules
First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes
Please specify:
voucher as good as cash; can be used in all supermarkets and various shops

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
webpage and telephone hotline

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
training; scheduling appointments in case of soft refusals, re-assignment of addresses to more successful interviewers

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 17.08.18 - 26.08.18
Number of pretest interviews: 30
Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:
What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations No
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 605
Number of back-checks achieved: 272
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 248
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for Yes
achieving the fieldwork outcome code:
Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 5
Number of back-checks achieved: 5
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 5
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
testing of CAPI programm before pretests including routing, visual presentation, completeness (all questions included, all response categories included)
pretest: including interviewer feedback and data analysis of pretest data

46 Deviations
ANONYMISATION:
F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire
Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) 4956
0 Contact forms missing 0
10 Valid interview 2499
11 Partial interview: break off 0
12 Invalid interview 0
20 Non-contact 134
30 Refusal because of opt-out list 0
31 Broken appointment 2
32 Refusal by respondent  1336
33 Refusal by proxy  126
34 Household refusal, before selection  738
41 Respondent not available, away  7
42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)  1
43 Respondent deceased  0
44 Language barrier  53
45 Contact but no interview, other  0
46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)  17
51 Respondent moved out of country  0
52 Respondent moved to unknown destination  0
53 Respondent has moved, still in country  0
54 Address not traceable  2
61 Derelict or demolished house  1
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation  2
63 Not occupied  24
64 Address not residential: business  8
65 Address not residential: institution  0
67 Other ineligible  6
88 Undefined  0
Response rate:  50.84%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting
Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 3
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 2
Initial weights above 4: 4.07, 4.32
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: 37189, 39849
DeffP: 1,248

50 Other study-related materials
50.7 Occupation coding
Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: Yes
Later on: Yes

Number of occupation coders:
2
Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: No
If yes, was adjudication done:

by sampling No
on difficult cases No

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: Yes

Short description of coding and checking procedures:

job coder: use of catchphrases while typing the occupation; eg if someone types "account" the software suggests "accountant, certified; accountant, chartered; accountant, tax" etc.; however interviewers do not have to use one of the catchphrases but can enter other words and phrases coding later on used a semiautomatic procedure which basically suggested codes for occupations that had been coded manually earlier; this procedure works with similarity measures to handle typos etc. No occupation was coded automatically; all procedures only come up with suggestions, which have to be chosen actively
Belgium

33 Data collector
Kantar TNS Belgium

34 Depositor
Bart Meuleman

35 Funding agency
Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO) - Vlaanderen

36 Grant number
60H5116N

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named Yes
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Dutch, French

39 Field work period(s)
20.09.18 - 28.01.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
NUTS level in data file
NUTS 2

Geographic units in dataset:
BE10 Brussels
BE21 Antwerp
BE22 Limburg
BE23 East Flanders
BE24 Flemish Brabant
BE25 West Flanders
BE31 Walloon Brabant
BE32 Hainaut
BE33 Liège
BE34 Luxembourg (Belgium)
BE35 Namur

41 Geographic coverage
Belgium excluding the nine municipalities of the German-speaking Community.

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling frame: The National Register, the official database of all (legal) residents in Belgium.

Sampling design:
A 2-stage design. At the first stage municipalities are sampled stratified by provinces and selected with probability proportional to their 15+ population. With the used sampling algorithm certain large municipalities are selected multiple times, thus the number of unique primary sampling units in the sample is smaller than the factual sample size at the first sampling stage, which is 356. At the second stage 9 persons are selected from each municipality for each time it gets selected. Persons within selected municipalities are sampled by a systematic sample with equal inclusion probabilities. The ordering for the systematic sampling is done by age and gender.

Remarks: The nine municipalities of the German-speaking Community (15+ population size of 64887) are excluded from the target population. This subgroup constitutes about 0.69% of the target population.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 124
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 85
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 125
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: No
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: Yes
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 5
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: No
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
Call-center (free number), additional brochure with ESS research findings to convince hesitant respondents during the first face-to-face contact.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes

If yes, please describe:
Updates of the National Registered are used for the invalid addresses. Interviewers with the highest response rates were prioritized to conduct refusal conversions.

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 13.08.18 - 23.08.18
Number of pretest interviews: 14

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
cognitive interviews: No
tape recording of interviews: No
video recording of interviews: No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations: No
check the CAPI script / routing: Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing: No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 1569
Number of back-checks achieved: 545
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 545
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

Other, please describe:
Checks on year of birth, sex respondent, where the interview took place

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 16
Number of back-checks achieved: 16
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 16
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal

45 Cleaning operations

45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
The CAPI script was checked thoroughly by one member of the national team for each language version and discussed during several calls with the survey agency.

46 Deviations

DEVIATION:
F2 (GNDR11-GNDR15): This question is not asked for more than 10 household members due to error in the CAPI script.
F3 (YRBRN11-YRBRN15): This question is not asked for more than 10 household members due to error in the CAPI script.
F4 (RSHIPA11-RSHIPA15): This question is not asked for more than 10 household members due to error in the CAPI script.

ANONYMISATION:
F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.
Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster/-step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.
C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.
D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.
D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.
F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates

47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire
Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) 3204
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Contact forms missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Valid interview</td>
<td>1767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Invalid interview</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Non-contact</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Broken appointment</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Respondent deceased</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Language barrier</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Address not traceable</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Not occupied</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Address not residential: business</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Other ineligible</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Response rate:</td>
<td>57.63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 48 Estimates of Sampling error

### 49 Weighting

Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

- Number of realised stages: 2
- Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
- Initial weights above 4: -
- IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
- DeffP: 1,00

### 50 Other study-related materials

#### 50.7 Occupation coding

**Classification used in coding of occupation:**
- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

**Occupation coding procedures:**
- When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

**Number of occupation coders:**
1

Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: No
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling
on difficult cases
Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No

**Short description of coding and checking procedures:**
Coding is made by an experienced coder via an online coding program (Ascribe). All answers for coding professions are thoroughly checked (description of tasks, education level,...). Standard codeframes provided by the field supervisors are used. Controls are made by the same coder. About 5% is checked.
Bulgaria

33 Data collector
National Statistical Institute of Bulgaria, P. Volov Str. 2, 1038 Sofia, (Bulgaria)

34 Depositor
Lilia Dimova, National Coordinator

35 Funding agency
Ministry of Education and Science in Bulgaria

36 Grant number
152/28.08.2018

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth Yes
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in: Bulgarian

39 Field work period(s)
16.11.18 - 15.12.18

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
NUTS level in data file

Geographic units in dataset:
BG311 - Vidin
BG312 - Montana
BG313 - Vratsa
BG314 - Pleven
BG315 - Lovech
BG321 - Veliko Tarnovo
BG322 - Gabrovo
BG323 - Ruse
BG324 - Razgrad
BG325 - Silistra
BG331 - Varna
BG332 - Dobrich
BG333 - Shumen
BG334 - Targovishte
BG341 - Burgas
BG342 - Siliven
BG343 - Yambol
BG344 - Stara Zagora
BG411 - Sofia (capital)
BG412 - Sofia (region)
BG413 - Biagoevgrad
BG414 - Pernik
BG415 - Kyustendil
BG421 - Plovdiv
BG422 - Haskovo
BG423 - Pazardzhik
BG424 - Smolyan
BG425 - Kardzhali

41 Geographic coverage
Bulgaria

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling Frame: List of dwellings enumerated in 2011 Census

Sampling Design:
A three-stage design is used.
At the first stage census enumeration areas are selected as PSUs using a stratified probability proportional to size (PPS) design, where the size measure is the number of individuals aged 15 and more, obtained from 2011 Census updated with births and deaths until 2018. Stratification is based on administrative-territorial districts (NUTS3) and type of residence (cities (district centres)/towns/villages). The number of strata is 28 districts by 3 types of residence minus 1 (for a unique district “23 Sofia” without a city centre) minus 5 (towns and villages are merged into one stratum in five districts; this was necessary to avoid sample size being less than 2 PSUs in a stratum). As a result 78 strata are formed. Municipalities and settlements within each stratum are sorted by the size of their population (aged 15 or more) prior to the selection. The total number of enumeration areas in the country is 40,000 and 555 from them are sampled. Enumeration areas are designed for the Census to include approximately 100 households or 80 dwelling.
At the second stage, six dwellings are selected from each PSU using systematic PPS sampling. The dwellings are sorted by size (number of dwelling residents aged 15 and
more). The total sample size is 3330 dwellings. The selection of one individual for each sampled dwelling is performed during the fieldwork at the third sampling stage, using the last-birthday method.

42.1 Use of opt-out list

Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No

When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 141
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 0
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 141
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: more than 8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: No
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: No
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: Yes
Other: Yes

If other, please specify:
Interviewers employed under civil contracts to conduct regular households’ surveys.

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: Yes
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: No
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:
43.6 Call schedules
   First contact by: Visit
   Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
   Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
   Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
   Respondent incentives: No
   Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
   Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
   Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
   Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No
   Other response enhancing measures:
   Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes
   Description of other response enhancing measures:
   Letter to respondents signed by the president of NSI.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
   Strategies for refusal conversion: No

43.9 Pretest
   The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 21.09.18 - 07.10.18
   Number of pretest interviews: 1023
   Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
   face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
   cognitive interviews: Yes
   tape recording of interviews: Yes
   video recording of interviews: No
   other, please describe:
   What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
   check the translations: Yes
   check the CAPI script / routing: Yes
   check the PAPI questionnaire / routing: No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
   Number of units selected for back-check: 282
   Number of back-checks achieved: 282
   Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 282
   Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
   Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
   Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
   Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
   Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
   Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
   Checks if showcards were used: Yes
   Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes
Other, please describe:
Interviewer ethic rules

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 141
Number of back-checks achieved: 141
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 141
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
Data entry checks for consistency, value types and ranges
Record level checks for completeness and consistency

46 Deviations
DEVIATION:
Timing Variables: Due to large inconsistencies, it was not possible to calculate interview time for the Bulgarian respondents. Variables INWDDS, INWSHH, INWSMM, INWDDE, INWEHH, INWEMM and INWTM have thus been omitted from the integrated file.

ITEM NON-RESPONSE:
8 cases (idno=1406, 3917, 6075, 12959, 15015, 16738, 34710, 43274) have more than 50% Refusal, Don't know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:
F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:
F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.
Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.
C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.
D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.
D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.
F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.
### 47 Response rates

#### 47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>3330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>2198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Address not traceable</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 Not occupied</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 Address not residential: business</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 Other ineligible</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 Undefined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response rate:</strong></td>
<td><strong>69.36%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 48 Estimates of Sampling error

### 49 Weighting

**Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:**

- Number of realised stages: 3
- Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 3
- Initial weights above 4: 4.01, 4.01, 4.01
- IDNOs of initial weights above 4: 34147, 6897, 34733
- DeffP: 1.147

### 50 Other study-related materials

#### 50.7 Occupation coding

**Classification used in coding of occupation:**

First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

**Occupation coding procedures:**

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:

At the time of the interview: Yes
Later on: Yes

**Number of occupation coders:**

141

Coding procedures:

Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:

by sampling No
on difficult cases Yes

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No

**Short description of coding and checking procedures:**

Common coding was performed at the time of interview, but for the complicated cases the coding was performed later by a dedicated expert.
Croatia

33 Data collector
Ipsos Puls Ltd.

34 Depositor
Dragan Bagić

35 Funding agency
Ministry of Science and Education

36 Grant number

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH Yes
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Croatian

39 Field work period(s)
20.09.19 - 27.01.20

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? Yes
If yes, Please describe:
In round 5, NUTS 2 was used for Croatia (until 2012 NUTS 2 for Croatia included 3 regions). In this round, we are using NUTS 3, consisting of 21 regions/counties and at that level, there was no changes between two waves.
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
NUTS level in data file 3

**Geographic units in dataset:**
- HR041 Grad Zagreb
- HR042 Zagrebačka županija
- HR043 Krapinsko-zagorska županija
- HR044 Varaždinska županija
- HR045 Koprivničko-križevačka županija
- HR046 Međimurska županija
- HR047 Bjelovarsko-bilogorska županija
- HR048 Virovitičko-podravska županija
- HR049 Požeško-slavonska županija
- HR04A Brodsko-posavska županija
- HR04B Osječko-baranjska županija
- HR04C Vukovarsko-srijemska županija
- HR04D Karlovačka županija
- HR04E Sisačko-moslavačka županija
- HR031 Primorsko-goranska županija
- HR032 Ličko-senjska županija
- HR033 Zadarska županija
- HR034 Šibensko-krnska županija
- HR035 Splitsko-dalmatinska županija
- HR036 Istarska županija
- HR037 Dubrovačko-neretvanska županija

**41 Geographic coverage**
- Croatia

**42 Sampling procedure**
- Sampling frame: Purpose-built list of addresses of private households

  Sampling design: A stratified 4-stage design. At the first stage, polling areas are stratified by region and settlement size and 894 of them are selected. At the second stage, 5 addresses are selected from each of the 894 sample areas with probability proportional to the number of household spaces at the address. At the third stage, one household is selected from each address (for each time that the address was selected – it is possible that large addresses could have been selected more than once): roughly 40% of the sampled addresses were single-household addresses, while the remainder contained between 2 and 304 households (some were very large apartment blocks). At the fourth and final stage, one person was selected at random from each household using the random number generator built into the electronic contact form.

**42.1 Use of opt-out list**
- Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
- When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 176
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 0
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 9

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 176
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4 hours or less
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: No
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? No

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: Yes
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit
43.7 Respondent incentives

Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): No

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion

Strategies for refusal conversion: No

43.9 Pretest

The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 24.08.19 - 29.08.29
Number of pretest interviews: 30

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations Yes
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation

44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 453
Number of back-checks achieved: 400
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 377
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone: 400
Personal: 0

Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes

Checks on the selection of respondents Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

Other, please describe:
checking audio recordings
checking gps data
checking date and time of the survey
remembering topic
checking age
checking occupation
checking two factual questions from the survey (number of household members, how often do they use Internet)
checking duplicate phone numbers

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 30
Number of back-checks achieved: 25
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 25
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal:15
Telephone:10

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
During pretest.
Several dummy interviews by ESS team and fieldwork agency team.

46 Deviations
VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB),
F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national
questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1
Education.

ANONYMISATION:

C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999) by
national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-
RSHIPAn):  
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted)
and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn
and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All
cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire
Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) 4470
0 Contact forms missing 1810
10 Valid interview 4
11 Partial interview: break off 27
12 Invalid interview 0
20 Non-contact 178
30 Refusal because of opt-out list 8
31 Broken appointment 27
32 Refusal by respondent 0
33 Refusal by proxy 0
34 Household refusal, before selection 1771
41 Respondent not available, away 0
42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term) 0
43 Respondent deceased 0
44 Language barrier 0
45 Contact but no interview, other 26
46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term) 24
51 Respondent moved out of country 46
52 Respondent moved to unknown destination 0
53 Respondent has moved, still in country 33
54 Address not traceable 305
61 Derelict or demolished house 40
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation 26
63 Not occupied 122
64 Address not residential: business 29
65 Address not residential: institution 11
67 Other ineligible 10
88 Undefined 0
Response rate: 43.24

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting
Documentation to be updated.
For questions please contact essdatasupport@nsd.no.

Number of realised stages: 4
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 4
Initial weights above 4: 4.71, 5.89, 8.38, 9.36
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: 198004, 365004, 520003, 520005
DeffP: 1.31

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding
Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes
**Occupation coding procedures:**

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:

- At the time of the interview: No
- Later on: Yes

**Number of occupation coders:**

- 2

Coding procedures:

- Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): Yes
- Was an adjudicator used: Yes

If yes, was adjudication done:

- by sampling: No
- on difficult cases: Yes

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No
Cyprus

33 Data collector
University Centre for Field Studies University of Cyprus

34 Depositor
Marios Vryonides, National Coordinator
Charis Psaltis, Fieldwork Organisation

35 Funding agency
Directorate General for European Programs, Coordination and Development

36 Grant number

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: No
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: Yes

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH Yes
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Greek

39 Field work period(s)
17.09.18 - 26.05.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: No
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
41 Geographic coverage
Republic of Cyprus excluding occupied areas

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling Frame: List of addresses from the Electricity Authority of Cyprus (EAC)

Sampling Design:
An unclustered sample of addresses is selected by stratified simple random sampling. The ten strata are defined by region (5 districts) and degree of urbanisation (rural/urban). Allocation of the sample to strata is proportional to the number of addresses in the population. At each address an individual (aged 15+) is selected by the interviewer using the last birthday method.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 33
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 11
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 33
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: No
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visits
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

**43.7 Respondent incentives**

Respondent incentives: No
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No
**Other response enhancing measures:**
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): No

**43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion**

If yes, please describe: Reassigned to more experienced researchers.

**43.9 Pretest**

The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 03.09.18 - 15.09.18
Number of pretest interviews: 50
**Which techniques were used in the pre-test?**
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:

**What was the main purpose of the pre-test?**
check the translations No
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

**44 Control operation**

**44.1 Interviews**

Number of units selected for back-check: 500
Number of back-checks achieved: 500
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 500
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Personal and Telephone

Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes
**Other, please describe:** They were also being asked about the process of interview.
44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 150
Number of back-checks achieved: 150
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 150
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
The program was checked by the whole National Team independently and compared results.
The interviewers checked it during their training and in the pre-test period and reported problems

46 Deviations
DEVIATION:

F29 (WKHCT), F30 (WKHTOT): Filter error. 457 of 681 respondents in paid work have not been asked these questions. The data from the variable have been omitted from the integrated data file, but the variable has been renamed WKHCTCY and WKHTOTCY and is available from a separate country-specific file for Cyprus.

F27 (WKDCORGA), F28 (IORGACT): Filter error. For 79 cases valid answers for these variables have been recorded despite the fact that the respondent has reported not being, and never having been in paid work (PDWRK = 0, CRPDWK = 2, PDJOBEV = 2).

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.
47 Response rates

47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 1599

- 0 Contact forms missing: 0
- 10 Valid interview: 781
- 11 Partial interview: break off: 0
- 12 Invalid interview: 0
- 20 Non-contact: 108
- 30 Refusal because of opt-out list: 0
- 31 Broken appointment: 13
- 32 Refusal by respondent: 199
- 33 Refusal by proxy: 29
- 34 Household refusal, before selection: 71
- 41 Respondent not available, away: 17
- 42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term): 4
- 43 Respondent deceased: 0
- 44 Language barrier: 158
- 45 Contact but no interview, other: 5
- 46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term): 28
- 51 Respondent moved out of country: 3
- 52 Respondent moved to unknown destination: 2
- 53 Respondent has moved, still in country: 0
- 54 Address not traceable: 43
- 61 Derelict or demolished house: 2
- 62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation: 1
- 63 Not occupied: 87
- 64 Address not residential: business: 30
- 65 Address not residential: institution: 6
- 67 Other ineligible: 6
- 88 Undefined: 6

Response rate: 53.35%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting

Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

- Number of realised stages: 2
- Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
- Initial weights above 4: -
- IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
- DeffP: 1,234

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:

First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the No ISCO08:
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

**Occupation coding procedures:**

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

**Number of occupation coders:**
2

Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): Yes
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling No
on difficult cases Yes

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No

**Short description of coding and checking procedures:**
Cross checking between the two coders.
The principle coder is an experienced researcher who has done the coding in all the previous rounds of ESS in Cyprus.
The National coordinator acted as co-coder in difficult cases.
Czechia

33 Data collector
ppm factum research s.r.o.
Office Park Nové Butovice / A
Bucharova 1281/2
158 00 Praha 13
Czech Republic

34 Depositor
Klára Plecitá, National Coordinator

35 Funding agency
Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports

36 Grant number
LM2015066

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth Yes
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Czech

39 Field work period(s)
17.11.18 - 06.02.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?

NUTS level in data file: NUTS 3

**Geographic units in dataset:**
- CZ010 Hlavní město Praha
- CZ020 Středočeský kraj
- CZ031 Jihočeský kraj
- CZ032 Plzeňský kraj
- CZ041 Karlovarský kraj
- CZ042 Ústecký kraj
- CZ051 Liberecký kraj
- CZ052 Královéhradecký kraj
- CZ053 Pardubický kraj
- CZ063 Vysočina
- CZ064 Jihomoravský kraj
- CZ071 Olomoucký kraj
- CZ072 Zlínský kraj
- CZ080 Moravskoslezský kraj

**41 Geographic coverage**
Czechia

**42 Sampling procedure**
Sampling Frame:
Czech Statistical Office register of residential addresses (Registr sčítacích obvodů a budov).

Sampling design:
4-stage sampling design: At the first stage basic settlement units are selected by a systematic sampling with probabilities proportional to the size of the number of addresses in the settlement unit. Settlement units are ordered according to region and within a region according to total population size of settlement. At the second stage six addresses are selected within each selected settlement unit from the register of residential addresses (a list of addresses of houses and flats), using a simple random sample without replacement. At the third sampling stage one household is selected from each sampled address using a Kish selection grid. At the fourth stage one person is selected from each sampled household using the next birthday method.

**42.1 Use of opt-out list**
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 296
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 251
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 78
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4 hours or less
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: No
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country?: Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: Yes
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: Yes
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: No
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 2

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: Yes
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No
Please specify:
Each respondent received 100 CZK and each household received 100 CZK upon completion of the interview.

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
Information about ongoing survey was available at web pages of the Institute of Sociology CAS and the Czech ESS web pages. ppm factum research provided a help desk number for target persons.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
In case of time shortage, another meeting was suggested in better time.
In case of anonymity concern, field director was supposed to be contacted.
In case of soft refusal, another meeting was suggested.

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 01.11.18 - 12.11.18
Number of pretest interviews: 30
Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:
What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations Yes
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 2016
Number of back-checks achieved: 1615
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 1583
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Personal and Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
 Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes

 Checks on the selection of respondents Yes
 Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
 Checks if showcards were used: No
 Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: No

 **Other, please describe:**
 demographics - gender, age, education

### 44.2 Ineligibles

| Number of units selected for back-check: | 50 |
| Number of back-checks achieved: | 47 |
| Number of units where outcome was confirmed: | 47 |
| Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: | Personal |

### 45 Cleaning operations

#### 45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)

CAPI program was checked by Project Manager, 3 independent company workers, and National Coordinator. Special attention was payed to filters and logic sequences of the questions.

### 46 Deviations

**DEVIATION:**

G10a (GRSPLET): Instrument error. Categories deviates from intended values in such a degree that the variable has been omitted from the international file. The variables is renamed to GRSPLCZ and available from a national file for Czechia.

**ANONYMISATION:**

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPan):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPan is 2 (Son/daughter/foster/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSVPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

### 47 Response rates

#### 47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

| Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) | 3564 |
0 Contact forms missing
10 Valid interview
11 Partial interview: break off
12 Invalid interview
20 Non-contact
30 Refusal because of opt-out list
31 Broken appointment
32 Refusal by respondent
33 Refusal by proxy
34 Household refusal, before selection
41 Respondent not available, away
42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)
43 Respondent deceased
44 Language barrier
45 Contact but no interview, other
46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)
51 Respondent moved out of country
52 Respondent moved to unknown destination
53 Respondent has moved, still in country
54 Address not traceable
61 Derelict or demolished house
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation
63 Not occupied
64 Address not residential: business
65 Address not residential: institution
67 Other ineligible
88 Undefined
Response rate: 67.36%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting
Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

- Number of realised stages: 4
- Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
- Initial weights above 4: -
- IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
- DeffP: 1,186

50 Other study-related materials
50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
- When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

**Number of occupation coders:**
3

**Coding procedures:**
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling No
on difficult cases Yes

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No
Denmark

33 Data collector
VIVE
Herluf Trolles Gade 11, DK-1052 København K

Statistics Denmark - DST Survey
Sejrøgade 11, DK-2100 København Ø

34 Depositor
Casper Sten Larsen, Fieldwork Organisation

35 Funding agency
Carlsberg Foundation

36 Grant number
CF17-0988

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named: Yes
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named: No
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Contact form from Field work organisation: No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Danish

39 Field work period(s)
13.09.18 - 08.01.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: No
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
NUTS level in data file NUTS 2

**Geographic units in dataset:**
DK01 Hovedstaden
DK02 Sjælland
DK03 Syddanmark
DK04 Midtjylland
DK05 Nordjylland

### 41 Geographic coverage

Denmark

### 42 Sampling procedure

Sampling Frame: Register of the population.

Sampling Design: Simple random sampling.
No stratification was used. A simple random sample of 3,212 persons was selected from the entire register.

#### 42.1 Use of opt-out list

Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? Yes
When does the opt-out list take effect: Before the sample is drawn
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

- Total number of interviewers: 87
- Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 42
- Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 10
- Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

- How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 87
- Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
- Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
- Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
- Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
- Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
- Training in refusal conversion: No
- Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
- Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

**Materials on observable and dwelling information:**
- Photos: Yes
- Video-tape recording: No
- If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? No

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

- Free-lance interviewers: No
- Employees of the fieldwork organisation: Yes
- Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

- Hourly rate: No
- Per completed interview: Yes
- Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
- A regular fixed salary: No
- Bonus arrangement: No
- Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: Yes
- Other: No

43.5 Advance information

- Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
  - Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
  - Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
  - Other: No
  - please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

- First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: No
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No
Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): No

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
We used new interviewers when we thought that would make a difference. The decision was made based on whether the interviewer thought it would help or not. These discussions were made between the supervisors and the interviewers. However refusal conversion was also implemented as a part of the backchecking procedure of refusals.

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 20.07.18 - 05.08.18
Number of pretest interviews: 24
Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews Yes
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:
What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations Yes
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 313
Number of back-checks achieved: 295
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 294
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes
44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 165
Number of back-checks achieved: 150
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 130
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Telephone

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
Random walk was done to check filters

46 Deviations
DEVIATION:
F45a (PDWRKP-DNGNAP), F45c (MNACTP), F46 (CRPDKWP): Filter error. All respondents selecting more than one activity at F45a, but not PDWRKP, were routed to F45c and from there to F52 (EDULVLF) rather than to F46 (CRPDKWP).

ANONYMISATION:
C28 (FBRNCNCT), C30 (MBRNCNCT): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (99 "No answer") by the national team.
F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.
Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YBRN, YBRN2-YBRNNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
  - All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
  - All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YBRN2-YBRNNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
  - All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YBRNN and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
  - Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.
C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.
D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.
D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.
F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire
Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) 3212
0 Contact forms missing 0
10 Valid interview 1568
11 Partial interview: break off 5
12 Invalid interview 2
20 Non-contact 92
30 Refusal because of opt-out list 0
31 Broken appointment 17
32 Refusal by respondent 1065
33 Refusal by proxy 97
34 Household refusal, before selection 5
41 Respondent not available, away 34
42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term) 2
43 Respondent deceased 9
44 Language barrier 89
45 Contact but no interview, other 33
46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term) 121
51 Respondent moved out of country 29
52 Respondent moved to unknown destination 17
53 Respondent has moved, still in country 10
54 Address not traceable 4
61 Derelict or demolished house 0
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation 0
63 Not occupied 1
64 Address not residential: business 0
65 Address not residential: institution 0
67 Other ineligible 7
88 Undefined 5
Response rate: 48.82

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting

Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 1
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
Initial weights above 4: -
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
DeffP: 1.00

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

Number of occupation coders: 1
Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: No

If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling
on difficult cases

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process:

**Short description of coding and checking procedures:**
A few observations was coded automatically from comparing with the list and finding 100 pct accordance.
Estonia

33 Data collector
Statistics Estonia
Tatari 51, 10134 Tallinn, Estonia

34 Depositor
Mare Ainsaar, National Coordinator

35 Funding agency
Ministry of Education and Research

36 Grant number
Decision nr 26.37-2/1381-14 by Archimedes

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named: Yes
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named: No
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Contact form from Field work organisation: No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Estonian, Russian

39 Field work period(s)
01.10.18 - 02.03.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? Yes
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat’s statistical regions for EFTA: Yes
and Candidate countries?
NUTS level in data file
NUTS 3

Geographic units in dataset:
EE001 Põhja-Eesti
EE004 Lääne-Eesti
EE006 Kesk-Eesti
EE007 Kirde-Eesti
EE008 Lõuna-Eesti

41 Geographic coverage
Estonia

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling frame:
Population register. Note that persons with a residency index below a threshold index are excluded, as these people are unlikely to be de facto resident in Estonia.

Sampling Design:
Frame is stratified by gender and five categories of geographical areas according to classification NUTS3 [EE001 - North-Estonia, EE004 - West-Estonia, EE006 - Middle-Estonia, EE007 - North-East-Estonia, EE008 - South-Estonia]. Objects in strata are sorted by age before selection. The method of selection is stratified systematic random selection, resulting in an unclustered sample. Sample size in strata is proportional to size of the population in strata.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? Yes
When does the opt-out list take effect: Before the sample is drawn

If yes, please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:
We have information about people, who are living in institution and they are not included to the sample design.
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

| Total number of interviewers: | 66 |
| Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: | 49 |
| Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: | 0 |
| Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): | 0 |

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

| How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: | 66 |
| Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: | 4-8 hours |
| Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: | Yes |
| Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: | No |
| Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: | Yes |
| Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: | Yes |
| Training in refusal conversion: | Yes |
| Training on how to fill in contact forms: | Yes |
| Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: | Yes |

**Materials on observable and dwelling information:**

- Photos: Yes
- Video-tape recording: No

If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

- Free-lance interviewers: No
- Employees of the fieldwork organisation: Yes
- Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

- Hourly rate: No
- Per completed interview: Yes
- Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
- A regular fixed salary: No
- Bonus arrangement: No
- Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
- Other: No

43.5 Advance information

- Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
  - Mailed out with an advance letter: No
  - Made available by interviewer on doorstep: No
  - Other: Yes
  - please specify: Link to project webpage in the invitation letter

43.6 Call schedules
First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 2
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 2

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes
Please specify: Small gifts - pocket lamp, pen.
Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes
Description of other response enhancing measures:
Respondents help desk have been used, information about the wave on the national ESS web page.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
Fieldwork managers called to the most of soft refusals and convinced respondents to participate.

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 10.06.18 - 20.06.18, 03.09.18 - 15.09.18
Number of pretest interviews: 25
Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
dface-to-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
cognitive interviews: Yes
tape recording of interviews: No
video recording of interviews: No
other, please describe: CAPI pretest in September
What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations: Yes
check the CAPI script / routing: Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing: No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 1537
Number of back-checks achieved: 405
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 352
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Mail
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for: Yes
achieving the fieldwork outcome code:

Checks on the selection of respondents: No
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

44.2 Ineligibles

Number of units selected for back-check: 797
Number of back-checks achieved: 93
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 93
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Mail

45 Cleaning operations

45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)

CAPI pretest included quality check for final wording in CAPI, visualisation (how easy is to mark the relevant answers) and routing.

46 Deviations

DEVIATION:

F18 (CRPDWK), F46 (CRPDWK): Questions were included in interviews, but due to a CAPI error, the data was not recorded. Variables recreated based on subsequent filter variables are available in a separate country specific file for Estonia.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPTNYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates

47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3100
0 Contact forms missing
10 Valid interview: 1904
11 Partial interview: break off: 2
12 Invalid interview 7
20 Non-contact 69
30 Refusal because of opt-out list 151
31 Broken appointment 33
32 Refusal by respondent 661
33 Refusal by proxy 30
34 Household refusal, before selection 1
41 Respondent not available, away 63
42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term) 5
43 Respondent deceased 54
44 Language barrier 12
45 Contact but no interview, other 19
46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term) 24
51 Respondent moved out of country 6
52 Respondent moved to unknown destination 3
53 Respondent has moved, still in country 20
54 Address not traceable 0
61 Derelict or demolished house 0
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation 0
63 Not occupied 0
64 Address not residential: business 0
65 Address not residential: institution 0
67 Other ineligible 5
88 Undefined 31
Response rate: 62.73%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting
Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 1
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
Initial weights above 4: -
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
DeffP: 1,000

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding
Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: Yes
Later on: No

Number of occupation coders:
66 interviewers + chief coder = 67

Coding procedures:

Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:

by sampling No
on difficult cases Yes

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No

**Short description of coding and checking procedures:**

Interviewers are coding during the interview. This is the practice for all Eurostat surveys (SILC and others) collected by Statistics Estonia.

Information about ESS coding frames were integrated to CAPI version of interviewers. Chief coder coded only limited "other" categories, left uncoded by interviewers.
Finland

33 Data collector
Statistics Finland (Tilastokeskus), FI-00022 Statistics Finland (Helsinki)

34 Depositor
Henna Attila, Juhani Saari, Johanna Koivula, Fieldwork organisation.

35 Funding agency
Academy of Finland

36 Grant number
319632

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation Yes

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Finnish, Swedish

39 Field work period(s)
03.09.18 - 18.02.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? Yes
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
NUTS level in data file

**Geographic units in dataset:**
- FI193 Keski-Suomi
- FI194 Etelä-Pohjanmaa
- FI195 Pohjanmaa
- FI196 Satakunta
- FI197 Pirkanmaa
- FI1B1 Helsinki-Uusimaa
- FI1C1 Varsinais-Suomi
- FI1C2 Kanta-Häme
- FI1C3 Päijät-Häme
- FI1C4 Kymenlaakso
- FI1C5 Etelä-Karjala
- FI1D1 Etelä-Savo
- FI1D2 Pohjois-Savo
- FI1D3 Pohjois-Karjala
- FI1D4 Kainuu
- FI1D5 Keski-Pohjanmaa
- FI1D6 Pohjois-Pohjanmaa
- FI1D7 Lappi
- FI200 Åland

41 Geographic coverage
Finland

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling Frame:
Population database (total register) which is based on the official Population Information System maintained by the Population Register Centre (see http://vrk.fi/en/population-information-system).

Sampling Design:
Single stage equal probability systematic sample (no clustering).
Implicit Stratification is by gender, location, date of birth and part of the Finnish personal code (or the so called "social security code"). The Finnish personal code consists of birth date plus a four digit/letter code, which is personal and individual (i.e. different for each and every individual), and contains the information of more exact age (the order of birth) of people born that day. Sorting is based on the first three numbers of the personal code. If a household has people born the same day (for example twins), this variable determines who is the oldest. The first born gets a smaller number.

42.1 Use of opt-out list

Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? Yes

When does the opt-out list take effect: Before the sample is drawn

**If yes, please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:**
People with a security classification are excluded from the sampling frame as well as people who have responded to large surveys conducted by Statistics Finland within the last 3 years.
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 150
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 104
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 149
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: more than 8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:

Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: No
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: Yes
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: Yes
Per completed interview: No
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: No
Other: No
please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

First contact by:
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1
Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): 4
Number of calls required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of calls required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives

**Respondent incentives:**

- Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
- Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
- Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: Yes
- Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes

**Please specify:**

Package of designed chewing gum and statistical pocket book to all respondents (inside advance letter envelope; “puff-effect”). Chocolate bars (100 pieces) to motivate reluctant, young respondents. In addition, a lottery drawn for 50 vouchers of EUR 100 among all respondents to Statistics Finland's surveys within a year.

**Other response enhancing measures:**

- Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

**Description of other response enhancing measures:**

Refusal motivation letters and motivational videos as well as updates on Twitter, Facebook and Statistics Finland website

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion

**Strategies for refusal conversion:**

Yes

**If yes, please describe:**

After interviewer trainings, interviewers were obliged to choose a pair among the colleagues, with whom they were encouraged to exchange respondents (both refusals and non-contacts). A special ESS video on YouTube was sent as a link via SMS to motivate those respondents selected for refusal conversion attempts. For interviewers, a document of "How to motivate and ignore refusals" was available.

43.9 Pretest

**The period in which the pretest interviews were held:** 15.08.18 - 22.08.18

- Number of pretest interviews: 30

**Which techniques were used in the pre-test?**

- face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
- cognitive interviews: No
- tape recording of interviews: No
- video recording of interviews: Yes
- other, please describe: 

**What was the main purpose of the pre-test?**

- check the translations: Yes
- check the CAPI script / routing: Yes
- check the PAPI questionnaire / routing: No

44 Control operation

44.1 Interviews

- Number of units selected for back-check: 332
Number of back-checks achieved: 159
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 156
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: No
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: No
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: No
Other, please describe:
Acquaintance with the advance material, the location of the interview, reasons for taking part, opinions on the interviewer and on the interview.

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check:
Number of back-checks achieved:
Number of units where outcome was confirmed:
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail:

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)

46 Deviations
DEVIATION:

INWEHH, INWEMM, INWTM: For 80 cases values cannot be calculated due to error in timing variables.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): The underlying national questionnaire item (Showcard) has changed since previous round.

ANONYMISATION:

C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (99 "No answer") by the national team.

C25 (LNGHOM1, LNGHOM2): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (99 "No answer") by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNTC), C30 (MBRNCNTC): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (99 "No answer") by the national team.

F1-F4 (HHMMB, GNDR- GNDRN, YRBRN- YRBRNN, RSHIPA2- RSHIPAN): A few substantive responses of the household grid variables (GNDR- GNDRN, YRBRN- YRBRNN, RSHIPA2- RSHIPAN) have been recoded to missing value by the national team. In a few cases variable HHMMB has been top coded.

F6 (RSHPSTS), F11 (MARSTS): A number of substantive responses have been recoded
to more common categories by the national team.

F33-34a (ISCO08), F47-49 (ISCO08P): A number of substantive responses have been rounded to more common occupations by the national team.

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): A number of substantive responses have been recoded to missing (999999 "No answer") by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPTNYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates

47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

| Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) | 3400 |
| 0 Contact forms missing | 0 |
| 10 Valid interview | 1755 |
| 11 Partial interview: break off | 0 |
| 12 Invalid interview | 0 |
| 20 Non-contact | 239 |
| 30 Refusal because of opt-out list | 0 |
| 31 Broken appointment | 22 |
| 32 Refusal by respondent | 785 |
| 33 Refusal by proxy | 40 |
| 34 Household refusal, before selection | 3 |
| 41 Respondent not available, away | 81 |
| 42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term) | 3 |
| 43 Respondent deceased | 2 |
| 44 Language barrier | 36 |
| 45 Contact but no interview, other | 360 |
| 46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term) | 53 |
| 51 Respondent moved out of country | 11 |
| 52 Respondent moved to unknown destination | 0 |
| 53 Respondent has moved, still in country | 0 |
| 54 Address not traceable | 1 |
61 Derelict or demolished house 0
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation 0
63 Not occupied 0
64 Address not residential: business 0
65 Address not residential: institution 0
67 Other ineligible 0
88 Undefined 9
Response rate: 51.82%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting
Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 1
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
Initial weights above 4: -
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
DeffP: 1,000

50 Other study-related materials
50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: Yes
Later on: Yes

Number of occupation coders: 149+2
Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): Yes
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling No
on difficult cases Yes
Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: Yes

Short description of coding and checking procedures:
Interviewers coded the occupations into the 5-digit ISCO 08 during the interview. The post coding procedures (coding the unknown cases and converting national 5-digit codes into 4-digit) were done after composing the SAS data set. The FW team conducted a post-coding of unclear occupation classifications after the active FW period had ended.
France

33 Data collector
IPSOS
35, rue du Val de Marne 75013 Paris

34 Depositor
Simon Le Corgne, National coordinator

35 Funding agency
Large National Research Infrastructure PROGEDO and National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS)

36 Grant number
none

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish Yes
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
French

39 Field work period(s)
19.10.18 - 01.04.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes

NUTS level in data file: NUTS 2

**Geographic units in dataset:**
- FR10 - Île de France
- FRB0 - Centre-Val de Loire
- FRC1 - Bourgogne
- FRC2 - Franche-Comté
- FRD1 - Basse-Normandie
- FRD2 - Haute-Normandie
- FRE1 - Nord-Pas de Calais
- FRE2 - Picardie
- FRF1 - Alsace
- FRF2 - Champagne-Ardenne
- FRF3 - Lorraine
- FRG0 - Pays de la Loire
- FRH0 - Bretagne
- FRI1 - Aquitaine
- FRI2 - Limousin
- FRI3 - Poitou-Charentes
- FRJ1 - Languedoc-Roussillon
- FRJ2 - Midi-Pyrénées
- FRK1 - Auvergne
- FRK2 - Rhône-Alpes
- FRL0 - Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur

41 Geographic coverage

France excluding all islands (incl. Corsica), overseas departments and territories.

42 Sampling procedure

Sampling Frame: INSEE register of dwellings used for the rotating Census

Sampling Design: A two-domain design is used, with a 2-stage design in the domain consisting of large municipalities and a 3-stage design in the domain consisting of smaller municipalities

Domain 1. Paris, Lyon, Marseille and municipalities with more than 40000 dwellings:
An unclustered sample of 850 dwellings is selected by stratified simple random sampling. The strata are Zones Action Enquêteur (ZAEs) - areas used for organising Census data collection - of which there are 80 in domain 1. Allocation of sample to strata is proportional to population number of dwellings. At each dwelling, one person aged 15 or over is selected using Kish grid.

Domain 2. All other municipalities:
A set of 220 ZAEs are selected as PSUs using systematic PPS sampling within each of 42 strata defined by the 21 administrative regions of France and ZAE type (small, large). Allocation to strata is proportional to population number of dwellings in the stratum and the size measure for PPS selection is the number of dwellings in the ZAE. Within each PSU, dwellings are selected by simple random sampling. At each dwelling, one person aged 15 or over is selected using Kish grid.

42.1 Use of opt-out list

Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No

When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 201
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 135
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 3
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 1

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 201
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: No
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: No
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? No

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: Yes
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

If bonus arrangement or other, please specify:
Interviewers have a bonus payment if their response rate is over the target rate.

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:
43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 5
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives

Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes

Please specify:
Conditional 10€ voucher once the interview was completed.

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
Before the fieldwork, a letter was sent to the city hall of each city in which the survey took place. During the fieldwork, a free number and a dedicated website were available for the households sampled.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion

Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
Households who refused on the first visit of the interviewer were motivated by mail, and an interviewer returned to the address two weeks later in order to try to obtain an interview.

43.9 Pretest

The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 12.09.18 - 18.09.18
Number of pretest interviews: 51

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews Yes
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe: Interviewers had sheets to note any particular reaction of the interviewees during the interview and they had to describe systematically the reaction of interviewees on specific questions. They had also to ask interviewees at the end of the interview if they had any comprehension issues or if they felt
uncomfortable at any point. The accreditive letter and the leaflet were discussed at the end of the interview to know if we could improve these documents.

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?

- check the translations
  - Yes
- check the CAPI script / routing
  - Yes
- check the PAPI questionnaire / routing
  - No

44 Control operation

44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 527
Number of back-checks achieved: 527
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 472
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Personal and Telephone

Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

Other, please describe:

The 527 achieved back-checks are those carried out with the respondents by phone or in person. This corresponds to 2 (or 3) controlled interviews for each interviewer. Other back checks were carried out on around 350 interviews. These consisted in re-readings of certain interviews. For each interviewer, 2 or 3 interviews were selected and precisely re-read by the team of the fieldwork institute. Re-readings of interviews are less rigorous controls than controls with the respondent, by telephone or in person. Only the controls that correspond to the survey specifications were identified as achieved, that is to say those carried out face-to-face or by telephone.

44.2 Ineligibles

Number of units selected for back-check: 200
Number of back-checks achieved: 165
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 165
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Telephone

45 Cleaning operations

45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)

Controls were done by the NC team and the survey institute team. Several scenario were defined and tested. The results of the tests were noted into a sheet and changes to apply were monitored thanks to this sheet. Produced dataset was controlled at the programming stage as well.
46 Deviations

DEVIATION:

D12 (NGCHLD) Filter error: All respondents coded with value 7777 or 8888 on D10 (FCLDBRN) have erroneously been asked question D12.

D14 (GGCHLD) Filter error: All respondents coded with value 7777 or 8888 on D13 (YGCDBYR) have erroneously been asked question D14.

ITEM NON-RESPONSE:

One case (idno=34439) has more than 50% Refusal, Don't know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): The underlying national questionnaire item (Showcard) has changed since previous round.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMBB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIP2-RSHIPn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIP2 - RSHIPn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMBB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates

47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>4400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Refusal by proxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Household refusal, before selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Respondent not available, away</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Respondent deceased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Language barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Contact but no interview, other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Respondent moved out of country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Address not traceable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Derelict or demolished house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Not occupied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Address not residential: business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Address not residential: institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Other ineligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Response rate: 48.11%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting

Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 3
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
Initial weights above 4: -
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
DeffP: 1,203

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

Number of occupation coders:
2
Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): Yes
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling \hspace{2cm} Yes
on difficult cases \hspace{2cm} Yes
Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: \hspace{2cm} Yes

Short description of coding and checking procedures:
The coding process was outsourced to a service provider working regularly with IPSOS

Several verification stages were set up:
- after coding a first set of occupations, the provider noted difficult cases and asked IPSOS team what were the accurate codes for these cases
- one person from the IPSOS team compared all verbatims for each ISCO code used to assess the homogeneity
- verification on 10% of randomly selected cases: no inconsistency occurred
Germany

33 Data collector
infas Institut für angewandte Sozialwissenschaft GmbH

34 Depositor
Oshrat Hochman, National Coordinator
Caroline Hahn and Christian Schnaudt, Survey manager

35 Funding agency
Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (Federal Ministry of Education and Research)

36 Grant number

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named: No
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named: Yes
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Contact form from Field work organisation: No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
German

39 Field work period(s)
29.08.18 - 04.03.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: No
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA Yes
and Candidate countries?

**Suggested grouping of the regional categories:**

In ESS round 9, there has been no oversampling for respondents from East Germany (former GDR), so that a grouping of regional units for East and West Germany is no longer suggested (as has been the case in previous rounds of the ESS). The sample was drawn to be representative of Germany as a whole, without any sub-national grouping of regions.

NUTS level in data file: NUTS 1

**Geographic units in dataset:**

- DE1 Baden-Württemberg
- DE2 Bayern
- DE3 Berlin
- DE4 Brandenburg
- DE5 Bremen
- DE6 Hamburg
- DE7 Hessen
- DE8 Mecklenburg-Vorpommern
- DE9 Niedersachsen
- DEA Nordrhein-Westfalen
- DEB Rheinland-Pfalz
- DEC Saarland
- DEF Sachsen
- DEE Sachsen-Anhalt
- DEF Schleswig-Holstein
- DEG Thüringen

41 Geographic coverage

- Germany

42 Sampling procedure

**Sampling Frame:** Municipality population registers

**Sampling Design:** A stratified two-stage probability design. Overall, the sampling design followed the same strategy as in round 8, with the exception that in round 9 there was no oversampling of respondents from East Germany (as in rounds 1-8). The total number of sampling points in round 9 amounts to 198 of which 158 are located in West Germany and 40 in East Germany. Municipalities were selected as PSUs with probability proportional to population size (aged 15+), with replacement. This means that municipalities can be sampled multiple times. The 198 PSUs correspond to 178 distinct municipalities.

At the second stage, 44 individuals aged 15+ were selected by systematic random sampling from each PSU (i.e. m*44 from each municipality, where m is the number of times the municipality was selected).

42.1 Use of opt-out list

- **Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?** No

- **When does the opt-out list take effect:**
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 216
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 99
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 27

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 216
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: No
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: Yes
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): Yes
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: Yes
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit

ESS9 - 2018 Documentation Report Edition 3.1
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: Yes
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No

Please specify:
The original incentive for the first and second batch of addresses was 20 Euros, conditional on a successful interview. The incentive was not paid directly to respondents but rather included in a letter of thanks sent by the fieldwork agency after the interview. In the first month of the fieldwork period (for both the first and second batch of addresses), interviewers had the additional option to increase the incentive by 10 Euro "at the doorstep". During the re-issuing phase (non-contacts, soft refusals), reminder letters with an increased incentive of 30 Euro were sent out (for both the first and second batch of addresses, respectively). A further increase in incentives for respondents of the first batch was announced in the second reminder letter (50 Euro). At the same time, the "doorstep incentive" for respondents of the second batch was increased to up to 20 Euro (in addition to the conditional incentive of 30 Euro).

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
Web-site, e-mail address, and toll-free info hotline provided by the survey agency; web-site with information on the ESS for potential respondents, social media activities (Twitter), and ESS video clip for respondents and interviewers provided by the German ESS team; reminder letters to respondents; central CATI unit to assist interviewers if personal contact attempts have been unsuccessful (if phone number available); additional briefing session for selected interviewers with below-average performance and new briefing session for a small number of new interviewers after two months of fieldwork.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes

If yes, please describe:
Interviewer training in refusal conversion; re-issuing of soft refusals; additional refusal conversion by central CATI unit (if phone number available); increased respondent incentives and additional reminder letters during the fieldwork period; possibility for interviewers to make use of "doorstep incentives"; interviewer task force consisting of 25 "high-performance" interviewers working in the final phase of the fieldwork period.

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 02.07.18 - 17.07.18
Number of pretest interviews: 53

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
cognitive interviews: No
tape recording of interviews: Yes
video recording of interviews: No
other, please describe:
What was the main purpose of the pre-test?

- check the translations: No
- check the CAPI script / routing: Yes
- check the PAPI questionnaire / routing: No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 2381
Number of back-checks achieved: 1468
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 1466
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone and Mail
- Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
- Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
- Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
- Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
- Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
- Checks if showcards were used: No
- Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

Other, please describe:
The check routine for the CAPI program assessed consistency with the source questionnaire, the translation of items, showcards and interviewer instructions, as well as correct routing.

44.2 Ineligibles

Number of units selected for back-check: 276
Number of back-checks achieved: 45
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 32
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Telephone

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)

46 Deviations

ITEM NON-RESPONSE:

One case (IDNO: 51112) has more than 50% Refusal, Don't know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

ANONYMISATION:

C12, C14 (RLGDNM, RLGDNME): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

D5 (LVPTNYR): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (9999) by the national team.

D10 (FCLDBRN): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (9999) by the national team.

D12 (NGCHLD): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing value (99
"No answer") by the national team.

G9a, G11a/b/c (GRSPNUM, NETINUM): These variables have been top coded by the national team.

F33-34a (ISCO08): A few codes at four-digit level (unit groups) have been aggregated to two-digit level (sub-major groups).

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

| Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) | 8695 |
| 0 Contact forms missing | 0 |
| 10 Valid interview | 2358 |
| 11 Partial interview: break off | 10 |
| 12 Invalid interview | 21 |
| 20 Non-contact | 155 |
| 30 Refusal because of opt-out list | 0 |
| 31 Broken appointment | 6 |
| 32 Refusal by respondent | 4153 |
| 33 Refusal by proxy | 283 |
| 34 Household refusal, before selection | 6 |
| 41 Respondent not available, away | 433 |
| 42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term) | 9 |
| 43 Respondent deceased | 44 |
| 44 Language barrier | 206 |
| 45 Contact but no interview, other | 3 |
| 46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term) | 302 |
| 51 Respondent moved out of country | 32 |
| 52 Respondent moved to unknown destination | 605 |
| 53 Respondent has moved, still in country | 6 |
| 54 Address not traceable | 0 |
61 Derelict or demolished house 19
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation 0
63 Not occupied 0
64 Address not residential: business 8
65 Address not residential: institution 36
67 Other ineligible 0
88 Undefined 0
Response rate: 27.56%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the
ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

Number of occupation coders:
7

Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): Yes
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling Yes
on difficult cases Yes
Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: Yes

Short description of coding and checking procedures:
The occupation coders of the survey agency have received intensive training in the
coding of ISCO-08 (more than 10,000 ISCO-codes/coder during the training) and regular
follow-up training. Coding takes place with the help of an electronic coding-system.
Then, coders check the plausibility of the codes. Additional steps of the coding
procedure are made to assure the quality of the coding (second coding for comparison,
checks of frequencies, etc.).
Hungary

33 Data collector
Tárki Social Research Institute

34 Depositor
Bence Ságvári, National Coordinator

35 Funding agency
Hungarian Academy of Sciences Centre for Social Sciences (HAS CSS)

36 Grant number
Financial support for the ESS is part of the yearly budget of HAS CSS

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named Yes
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Hungarian

39 Field work period(s)
31.01.19 - 22.05.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
NUTS level in data file: NUTS 3

Geographic units in dataset:
- HU101 Budapest
- HU102 Pest
- HU211 Fejér
- HU212 Komárom-Esztergom
- HU213 Veszprém
- HU221 Győr-Sopron-Moson
- HU222 Vas
- HU223 Zala
- HU231 Baranya
- HU232 Somogy
- HU233 Tolna
- HU311 Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén
- HU312 Heves
- HU313 Nógrád
- HU321 Hajdú-Bihar
- HU322 Jász-Nagykun-Szolnok
- HU323 Szabolcs-Szatmár-Bereg
- HU331 Bács-Kiskun
- HU332 Békés
- HU333 Csongrád

41 Geographic coverage
Hungary

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling Frame:
National Population Registry from the BM NYHÁT (Belügyminisztérium, Nyilvántartások Vezetéséért Felelős Helyettes Államtitkárság – Ministry of Interior, Secretary of State Responsible for Keeping Registry).

Sampling Design:
The sampling design has two sampling domains. The first sampling domain includes Budapest and the next 141 largest settlements in Hungary and second domain all other settlements. The allocation to each domain is proportional to its 15+ population. In the first sampling domain a one-stage sampling design is used. The design includes stratified sampling, where the settlements serve as strata. Selection of persons within the strata is done by a simple random sample.

In the second sampling domain a two-stage sampling design is used. At the first sampling stage settlements are selected by stratified sample, where the strata represent 7 geographical regions. The allocation of the sample size to the strata is proportional to the size of the target population (15+ population) within the strata. Within the strata the settlements are sampled with probability proportional to the size of their target population (15+ population). At the second stage persons are sampled from each selected settlement using a simple random sample.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? Yes
When does the opt-out list take effect: Before the sample is drawn

If yes, please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:
Only official lists were used, ordered directly from the Hungarian Central Statistical Office.
The target population is residents aged 15 years and over that are registeres in the National Population Registry, obtained from Central Office for Administrative and Electronic Public Services (KEH KH).
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

- Total number of interviewers: 123
- Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 90
- Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
- Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

- How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 123
- Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
- Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
- Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
- Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
- Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
- Training in refusal conversion: Yes
- Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
- Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:

- Photos: Yes
- Video-tape recording: No
- If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country?: Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

- Free-lance interviewers: Yes
- Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
- Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

- Hourly rate: No
- Per completed interview: Yes
- Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
- A regular fixed salary: No
- Bonus arrangement: No
- Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
- Other: No

43.5 Advance information

- Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
  - Mailed out with an advance letter: No
  - Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
  - Other: No
  - please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

- First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives

Respondent incentives: No
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): No

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion

Strategies for refusal conversion: No

43.9 Pretest

The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 13.12.18 - 22.12.18
Number of pretest interviews: 30

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations Yes
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation

44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 432
Number of back-checks achieved: 432
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 399
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: No
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents No
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

44.2 Ineligibles

Number of units selected for back-check: 132
Number of back-checks achieved: 132
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 132
45 Cleaning operations

45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)

The CAPI programme was checked during the pretest and before the main fieldwork started by researchers.

46 Deviations

DEVIATION:

B38 (IMSMETN), B39 (IMDFETN): Due to translation error in questionnaire, data from IMSMETN and IMDFETN have been omitted from the integrated file.

F55 (OCCF14B) Filter error: All respondents coded with value 7 on F53 (EMPRF14) have erroneously been asked question F55.

F59 (OCCLM14B) Filter error: All respondents coded with value 7 on F57 (EMPRM14) have erroneously been asked question F59.

Ha-Hu (IPCRTIV-IMPFUN): Data for question Ha (IPCRTIV) through Hu (IMPFUN) is missing (99) for 41 interviews due to poor interview quality.

INWEHH, INWEMM, INWTM: For a large number of cases values cannot be calculated due to error in timing variables.

ITEM NON-RESPONSE:

Two cases (IDNO: 11940, 54659) has more than 50% Refusal, Don't know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

REGION: In Hungary the NUTS2 and NUTS3 regions have changed since previous round of ESS (2016).

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPA):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPA is 2 (Son/daughter/foster/step/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPA for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPTNYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.
47 Response rates

47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>4363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>1698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>1582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Address not traceable</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 Not occupied</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 Address not residential: business</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 Other ineligible</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 Undefined</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate:</td>
<td>40.73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: Yes
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: No

Occupation coding procedures:
- When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
  - At the time of the interview: No
Later on:

Number of occupation coders: 3

Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling No
on difficult cases Yes

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No

Short description of coding and checking procedures:
Data is entered in SPSS Data Entry program. Logical control and exclusion of extreme values are always built in the program. This procedure reduces typing errors and other possible mistakes.
Cleaning of data occurs at different stages. Before compiling the system file a program pre-checks for various types of coding error. Only after correcting these errors are the files joined.
Iceland

33 Data collector
The Social Science Research Institute of the University of Iceland

34 Depositor
Stefan Thor Gunnarsson

35 Funding agency
Mennta- og menningarmálaráðuneytið, Háskóli Íslands

36 Grant number
No formal grants, only funding.

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named Yes
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Icelandic, Polish

39 Field work period(s)
05.10.19-31.01.20

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
41 Geographic coverage
Iceland.

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling Frame: The Icelandic Population Register (https://skra.is/english/individuals/access-to-information/).

Sampling Design: 2-domain design.

1st Sampling Domain: An area consisting of a) Reykjavik; b) five surrounding municipalities; c) the area within 60 km radius of the capital, and d) an area around the largest town in the north of the country, Siglufjordur. Domain 1 accounts for 84.4% of the population aged 15 and over. The list of persons was ordered (implicitly stratified) by postcode area, gender and age and a systematic random sample selected.

2nd Sampling Domain: The remaining parts of the country, consisting mainly of remote areas, villages and a few small towns. A 2-stage design: At the first stage, 10 2-digit postal areas were selected from a total of 44 with probability proportional to number of eligible persons on the register, after stratifying by constituencies. At the second stage 42 persons were selected from each sampled postal area.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? Yes
When does the opt-out list take effect: Before the sample is drawn

If yes, please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:
There is an opt-out list for marketing surveys, but it does not apply to scientific research, and is therefore not applicable for the ESS sampling.
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 44
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 4
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 11
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 29

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 44
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: Yes
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: Yes
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: Yes
Other: Yes

If bonus arrangement or other, please specify:
Bonus after conducting 12 interviews, then a bonus after each 10 interviews conducted.
Other: Fee for driving long distances, fixed rate per kilometer.

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:
43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Telephone or visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1
Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): 8
Number of calls required to be on a weekend: 2
Number of calls required to be in the evening: 2

43.7 Respondent incentives

Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: Yes
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No

Please specify:
Every respondent was entered into a raffle with the chance of winning a rather substantial monetary prize or one of 10 smaller ones.

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.):
Call-center.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion

Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes

If yes, please describe:
Soft refusals (people who might participate in the future, or were not likely to participate) were contacted again and asked to participate.

43.9 Pretest

The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 01.10.19-05.10.19
Number of pretest interviews: 38

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations No
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 91
Number of back-checks achieved: 77
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 77
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: No
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

Other, please describe:
The manner/attitude of the interviewer, education of interviewee.

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check:
Number of back-checks achieved:
Number of units where outcome was confirmed:
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail:

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
Various employees of SSRI went through the survey, checking on routing and availability of all questions.

46 Deviations
DEVIAION:

D12 (NGCHLD) and D14 (GGCHLD): Filter error: These questions have not been asked to respondents whose first child or grandchild was born in 2003 (D10 (FCLDBRN) or D13 (YGCDDBYR)).

F2 (GNDR) and F3 (YRBRN): These questions have erroneously not been asked to 4 (GNDR and YRBRN)/11 (YRBRN) respondents respectively. Information about gender and age for these cases is based on information from the contact form data file (IDNOS 110589, 127981, 142275, 147162 and 76880, 85838, 88793, 96039, 113900, 115119, 115610, 128392, 139460, 141718, 147740).

G8a/b/c (INFQBST), G9a (GRSPNUM) and G11a/b/c (NETINUM): Filter error: All respondents coded with value 9 on G8 (FVGABC) have erroneously been asked/information has been filled in for questions G8a/b/c, G9a and G11a/b/c.

G9a (GRSPNUM): Filter error: All respondents coded with value 2 or 3 on G8 (FVGABC) have erroneously been asked question G9a due to an error in the CAPI script.

G12a/b/c (NETILET): Filter error: 19 respondents with valid values at G11a/b/c (NETINUM) have erroneously been asked question G12a/b/c due to an error in the CAPI script.

G14a/b/c (NETIFR): Filter error: 8 respondents with value 0 at G11a/b/c (NETINUM) have erroneously been asked question G14a/b/c due to an error in the CAPI script.

G16a/b/c (FRLNETI): Filter error: 6 respondents with value 0 at G14a/b/c (NETIFR) have erroneously been asked question G16a/b/c due to an error in the CAPI script.
G16a/b/c (FRLNETI): Filter error: G16a and b have erroneously not been asked to respondents with values other than 0 on G14a and b (NETIFR) due to an error in the CAPI script.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

| Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) | 2197 |
| 0 Contact forms missing | 0 |
| 10 Valid interview | 861 |
| 11 Partial interview: break off | 1 |
| 12 Invalid interview | 0 |
| 20 Non-contact | 257 |
| 30 Refusal because of opt-out list | 0 |
| 31 Broken appointment | 27 |
| 32 Refusal by respondent | 637 |
| 33 Refusal by proxy | 17 |
| 34 Household refusal, before selection | 0 |
| 41 Respondent not available, away | 41 |
| 42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term) | 2 |
| 43 Respondent deceased | 5 |
| 44 Language barrier | 60 |
| 45 Contact but no interview, other | 73 |
| 46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term) | 68 |
| 51 Respondent moved out of country | 46 |
| 52 Respondent moved to unknown destination | 46 |
| 53 Respondent has moved, still in country | 8 |
| 54 Address not traceable | 24 |
| 61 Derelict or demolished house | 1 |
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation 0
63 Not occupied 1
64 Address not residential: business 3
65 Address not residential: institution 0
67 Other ineligible 16
88 Undefined 3
Response rate: 40,5

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting

Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 2
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
Initial weights above 4: -
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
DeffP: 1,008

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: Yes
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

Number of occupation coders:
1

Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: No
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling
on difficult cases
Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No

Short description of coding and checking procedures:
Respondents' answers to questions on: job title, main responsibilities, training needed, main activity of enterprise, number of people supervised, were copied into an excel spreadsheet and sorted alphabetically on job title. Coding was done in this spreadsheet. Job titles were looked up in the Icelandic translation of ISCO 88 and then bridged to match ISCO 08. Key words were searched to make sure that similar jobs were coded in the same way.
Occupation coder consulted with other experienced coders on difficult cases.
Ireland

33 Data collector
   Behaviour & Attitudes

34 Depositor
   Daniel Capistrano

35 Funding agency
   Irish Research Council

36 Grant number
   The funder (Irish Research Council) do not grant numbers.

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
   Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
   Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
   Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
   Standard ESS contact form
   As is
   Individual_Named No
   HH_BIRTH No
   HH_Kish No
   Address_Birth No
   Address_KISH No
   Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
   Individual_Named No
   HH_BIRTH No
   HH_Kish No
   Address_Birth Yes
   Address_KISH No
   Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
   Structured questionnaires in:
   English

39 Field work period(s)
   05.11.18 - 05.04.19

40 Geographic unit
   Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? Yes
   If yes, Please describe:
   In Ireland the NUTS2 and NUTS3 regions have changed since previous round of ESS (2016). The revisions to the Irish NUTS 2 and NUTS 3 regions are documented here:

Statistical inference possible at the regional level: No

Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes

NUTS level in data file NUTS 3

**Geographic units in dataset:**
- IE041 Border
- IE042 West
- IE051 Mid-west
- IE052 South East
- IE053 South-West
- IE061 Dublin
- IE062 Mid-East
- IE063 Midlands

**41 Geographic coverage**
Ireland

**42 Sampling procedure**
Sampling Frame: GeoDirectory (national list of addresses)

Sampling Design:
A multistage cluster sample with the first stage consisting of a stratified PPS selection of 628 geographical clusters from the national GeoDirectory. The second stage refers to a simple random sample of 6 addresses within each cluster. At the final stage, one resident of each address aged 15 or older is selected following the criteria of the last birthday.

**42.1 Use of opt-out list**
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No

When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 107
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 18
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 107
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? No

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: No
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: Yes
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: Yes
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
A free phone number was provided for any inquiries regarding the survey and for contact with the field director. The NC's website (http://www.ucd.ie/geary/research/europeansocialsurvey) included information on the fieldwork and more details about the survey.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
In some cases with refusals, the survey agency reissued cases to the interviewers who had been most effective with their own allocations.

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 08.10.18 - 15.10.18
Number of pretest interviews: 30

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations No
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 436
Number of back-checks achieved: 301
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 301
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

**Other, please describe:**
The actual address

---

### 44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 36
Number of back-checks achieved: 19
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 19
Type of back-check: Personal

### 45 Cleaning operations

#### 45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
The survey agency carried out sense checks, routing checks and spelling checks on the Capi script against the hard copy questionnaire. We carried out several internal Test interviews. We held a pilot briefing with the interviewers and personnel from UCD in which we went through the Capi survey. Once the pilot was launched any issues arising were immediately amended. All CAPI changes were complete before the start of Fieldwork.

### 46 Deviations

**DEVIATION:**
Ha-Hu (IPCRTIV-IMPFUN): Data for question Ha (IPCRTIV) through Hu (IMPFUN) is missing (99) for 15 interviews due to poor interview quality.

**VARIABLE HARMONISATION:**
REGION: In Ireland the NUTS2 and NUTS3 regions have changed since previous round of ESS (2016). The revisions to the Irish NUTS 2 and NUTS 3 regions are documented here: https://www.cso.ie/en/methods/revnuts23/

**ANONYMISATION:**
C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNCTC) C30 (MBRNCNCTC): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

C25 (LNGHOM1, LNGHOM2): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates

47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>3768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>2216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Address not traceable</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 Not occupied</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 Address not residential: business</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 Other ineligible</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 Undefined</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate:</td>
<td>61.95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting

Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 3
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 1
Initial weights above 4: 5.07
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: 18452
DeffP: 1,251

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding

**Classification used in coding of occupation:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Occupation coding procedures:**

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At the time of the interview:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Later on:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Number of occupation coders:**

2

**Coding procedures:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding):</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was an adjudicator used:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, was adjudication done:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by sampling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on difficult cases</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Short description of coding and checking procedures:**

After coding, every 10th record was reviewed by another member of staff.
Italy

33 Data collector
Ipsos s.r.l.

34 Depositor
Valentina Gualtieri (Inapp)
Gabriella Scarcella (Ipsos)

35 Funding agency
Inapp - Istituto nazionale per l'analisi delle politiche pubbliche

36 Grant number
N.A.

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named: Yes
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named: Yes
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Contact form from Field work organisation: No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Italian

39 Field work period(s)
17.12.18 - 10.03.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA Yes
41 Geographic coverage

Italy

42 Sampling procedure

Sampling Frame: Italian Public Register of Individuals (Liste Anagrafiche comunali – LAC)

Sampling Design:
A two domain sampling design is used.

The first sampling domain consists of the biggest municipalities within Italy (around 14% of the Italian population). Here a one-stage sampling design is used, where persons are sampled by stratified simple random sample from the LAC from each municipality in domain 1. The allocation of the sample size is proportional to target population within the strata.

The second sampling domain consists of all municipalities that are not included in the first domain. Here a two-stage sampling design is used. At the first stage municipalities are selected as Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) by stratified sampling. The stratification is done by crossing two variables, Geographical area (NUTS-1) and demographic size class of the resident population aged 15 and over (4 classes). The allocation of PSUs to strata is proportional to target population within the strata. Within strata, PSUs are selected with probability proportional to size of target population. At the second stage persons are selected from the sampled municipalities using a simple random sample.

42.1 Use of opt-out list

Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No

When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection
Total number of interviewers: 197
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 120
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers
How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 197
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview (“dummy interview”) conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? No

43.3 Employment status of interviewers
Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers
Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: Yes
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

If bonus arrangement or other, please specify:
Interviewers got a bonus payment if their response rate was over the target rate.
Interviewers received additional bonuses when completing re-issued "difficult" cases.

43.5 Advance information
Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:
43.6 Call schedules
First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes
Please specify:
Vouchers corresponding to the value of 15 Euros
Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes
Description of other response enhancing measures:
• 24h helpdesk via email
• daily phone helpdesk for respondents active from Monday to Friday from 9 am to 7 pm
• daily phone helpdesk for interviewers active from Monday to Friday from 9 am to 6 pm
• interviewers personally contacted municipal offices or local police offices to inform authorities about the activity of data collection

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
For interviewers:
• substitution of the interviewer, following specific requests of the respondent (e.g. preference for a male/female interviewer)
• use of “jolly” interviewers (best performing interviewers). Jolly’s were added to the original group of interviewers in specific contexts and territories where specific problems were detected. Jolly’s worked in pair with the supported interviewers, participating to the administration of the interview.

For respondents:
• direct phone call from the Ipsos’s headquarter to respondents who refused
• issuing of a second personalised advance letter (including the name of the interviewer) signed by the president of Ipsos asking for cooperation

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 30.10.18 - 31.10.18
Number of pretest interviews: 31
Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
cognitive interviews: No
tape recording of interviews: No
video recording of interviews: No
other, please describe:
What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations: Yes
check the CAPI script / routing  Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing  No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 2745
Number of back-checks achieved: 295
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 295
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone (295)
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes
Other, please describe:
personal behaviour of the interviewer, if the incentive was delivered after completion of interview

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 318
Number of back-checks achieved: 93
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 78
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal (29) and Mail (64)

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
The sections of the questionnaire were sent out after completion of the programming to a pool of researchers belonging to the ESS Italian team. Each of them checked a) correspondence of the text of the questions in the CAPI program with the original formulation in the questionnaire; b) routing.
The CAPI program was further checked during the pilot interviews.

46 Deviations
DEVIATION:
D8 (BTHCLD), D12 (NGCHLD): Filter error. Respondents with refusal or don’t know on questions D8 and D12 have valid answers on questions D9 (NBTHCLD) - D14 (GGCHLD).

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:
F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLM), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:
C12 (RLGDNM): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

C25 (LNGHOM1, LNGHOM2): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNTC), C30 (MBRNCNTC): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

F22 (EMPLNO): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): A number of substantive responses have been aggregated to broader categories by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

| Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) | 5497 |
| Contact forms missing | 0 |
| Valid interview | 2745 |
| Partial interview: break off | 0 |
| Invalid interview | 0 |
| Non-contact | 489 |
| Refusal because of opt-out list | 0 |
| Broken appointment | 45 |
| Refusal by respondent | 1208 |
| Refusal by proxy | 214 |
| Household refusal, before selection | 73 |
| Respondent not available, away | 58 |
| Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term) | 13 |
43 Respondent deceased
44 Language barrier
45 Contact but no interview, other
46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)
51 Respondent moved out of country
52 Respondent moved to unknown destination
53 Respondent has moved, still in country
54 Address not traceable
55 Derelict or demolished house
56 Not yet built, not ready for occupation
57 Not occupied
58 Address not residential: business
59 Address not residential: institution
60 Other ineligible
61 Undefined
Response rate: 51.93%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting
Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 2
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
Initial weights above 4: -
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
DeffP: 1,005

50 Other study-related materials
50.7 Occupation coding
Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08:
No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08:
No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08:
Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview:
No
Later on:
Yes

Number of occupation coders:
1
Coding procedures:
Weren any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding):
No
Was an adjudicator used:
No
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling
on difficult cases
Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process:

Short description of coding and checking procedures:
The project leader at the survey agency (Ipsos) instructed the chief coder of the agency,
who took care of the briefing of the actual coder.
The project leader supervised regularly the coding exercise and was consulted when
doubts or problems arose in individual cases.
Moreover, the coder involved in Round9 activity is the same as in Round 8.
Latvia

33 Data collector
Kantar ("TNS Latvia", Ltd.)

34 Depositor
Jurijs Įkišins, National Coordinator and Fieldwork Organisation

35 Funding agency
State Education Development Agency (SEDA; VIAA in Latvian)

36 Grant number
ES RTD 2018/9; Latvian state budget funding

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish Yes
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Latvian, Russian

39 Field work period(s)
10.10.19 - 21.01.20

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
NUTS level in data file: NUTS 3

Geographic units in dataset:
LV003 - Kurzeme
LV005 - Latgale
LV006 - Rīga
LV007 - Pļēvīga
LV008 - Vidzeme
LV009 - Zemgale

41 Geographic coverage
Latvia

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling frame: A list of occupied private dwellings, compiled by combining the national population register, the state address register and the list of institutional dwellings maintained by the Central Statistical Bureau.

Sampling design: Dwellings were grouped into geographical clusters with an average of 227 dwellings per cluster. The 3,794 clusters thus created were stratified by region (6 regions) and territory type (cities, towns, rural areas), making 16 strata (as Riga, the capital region, is entirely a city) and 505 clusters were selected with probability proportional to the number of dwellings in the cluster. Within each cluster, 5 dwellings were selected with equal probabilities, giving a selected sample of 2,525. One person was selected for interview at each dwelling using a Kish grid method.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 63
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 1
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 7
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 63
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4 hours or less
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: No
Was the ESS briefing example interview (“dummy interview”) conducted using the guidance provided: No
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country?: Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: No
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: Yes
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): Yes
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: Yes
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other please specify: No

43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 2
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 2

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes

Please specify:
Pen and chocolate

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): No

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: No

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 27.09.19 - 03.10.19
Number of pretest interviews: 30

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
Faceto-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
cognitive interviews: No
tape recording of interviews: Yes
video recording of interviews: No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations: Yes
check the CAPI script / routing: Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing: No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 259
Number of back-checks achieved: 162
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 125
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Personal (15), Telephone (244)

Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes

Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

Other, please describe:
Check whether the respondent's age and gender matches the interview.
44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 8
Number of back-checks achieved: 8
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 8
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
Performed by testing by the survey agency personnel and the NC Team (sequence of questions, sufficiency of answer options, filters)

46 Deviations
DEVICATION:
F2 (GNDR): Substantial under-representation of male respondents in Latvian net-sample
F3 (YRBRN), F3 (AGEA): Lower age cut-off for the Latvian sample was 16
F11 (MARSTS): Filter error. All respondents not living with husband/wife/partner at F4 (RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn) have been routed past MARSTS. Variable is available in a separate country specific file in the Latvian country section.
G14 (GGCHLD): Filter error. Item fielded only to respondents whose first child was born in 2002 and earlier. According to filter instructions, countries starting fieldwork in 2019 should ask this question to respondents whose first child was born in 2003 or earlier.
ANONYMISATION:
F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.
Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIpn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.
C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPTNYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.
D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.
D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.
F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire
Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) 2525
0 Contact forms missing 0
10 Valid interview 918
11 Partial interview: break off 4
12 Invalid interview 1
20 Non-contact 518
30 Refusal because of opt-out list 0
31 Broken appointment 43
32 Refusal by respondent 379
33 Refusal by proxy 109
34 Household refusal, before selection 209
41 Respondent not available, away 58
42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term) 11
43 Respondent deceased 7
44 Language barrier 8
45 Contact but no interview, other 32
46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term) 21
51 Respondent moved out of country 12
52 Respondent moved to unknown destination 16
53 Respondent has moved, still in country 9
54 Address not traceable 19
61 Derelict or demolished house 21
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation 5
63 Not occupied 93
64 Address not residential: business 15
65 Address not residential: institution 2
67 Other ineligible 12
88 Undefined 3
Response rate: 38.93%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting
Number of realised stages: 3
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 1
Initial weights above 4: 4.2
IDNOs of initial weights above 4:
DeffP: 1,219

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding
Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

Number of occupation coders:
Coding procedures:

Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No

Was an adjudicator used: No

If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling
on difficult cases

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No

Short description of coding and checking procedures:

Coding was done manually. The principle coder is an experienced team researcher who has done the coding for the previous modules of the ISSP. The other coder was a qualified professional from the fieldwork organisation, whose initial work was checked by the team coder to ensure it was done correctly.

Automatic coding was not used. Occupation codes were validated by the education level of the respondent.
**Lithuania**

33 **Data collector**
RAIT, UAB

34 **Depositor**
Aurelija Stelmokiene, National Coordinator

35 **Funding agency**
Research Council of Lithuania

36 **Grant number**
VS-3

37 **Mode of data collection**

37.1 **Main questionnaire**
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 **Contact form questionnaire**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire Type</th>
<th>CAPI</th>
<th>PAPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer assisted personal interview</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper and pencil interview</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

37.3 **Type of contact form**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard ESS contact form</th>
<th>As is</th>
<th>Individual_Name</th>
<th>HH_BIRTH</th>
<th>HH_Kish</th>
<th>Address_Birth</th>
<th>Address_KISH</th>
<th>Adapted by NC/Field work organisation</th>
<th>Individual_Name</th>
<th>HH_BIRTH</th>
<th>HH_Kish</th>
<th>Address_Birth</th>
<th>Address_KISH</th>
<th>Contact form from Field work organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

38 **Type of research instrument**

Structured questionnaires in:
Lithuanian and Russian

39 **Field work period(s)**

40 **Geographic unit**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical inference possible at the regional level:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
41 Geographic coverage

Lithuania

42 Sampling procedure


Sampling Design: 2-domain design.

1st Sampling Domain: The 58 settlements (7 biggest cities and other towns including suburbs) that have a total population of 4,000 or more, (that is 64.6% of the population aged 15 and over). A 2-stage design: At the first stage address are selected by stratified simple random sampling, the stratification being by city and county (17 strata). At the second stage one person is selected, where necessary, from each sampled address with equal probability using a Kish Grid.

2nd Sampling Domain: All other settlements, i.e. small towns, villages and rural areas. A 3-stage design: At the first stage, 256 polling stations are selected from the list of 1,315 polling stations in domain 2 with probability proportional to number of registered voters, after stratifying by the 10 counties of Lithuania. At the second stage 9 addresses are selected from each sampled polling station by a simple random sample. At third stage one person is selected, where necessary, from each sampled address with equal probability using a Kish Grid.

42.1 Use of opt-out list

Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? 
No

When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 90
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 28
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 90
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: Yes
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: Yes
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: No
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: No
Other: Yes
please specify: Brochures were not used, only advance letters
43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit

Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives

Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes

Please specify:
Tote bags with company logo.

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): No

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion

Strategies for refusal conversion: No

43.9 Pretest

The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 21.08.19 - 01.09.19
Number of pretest interviews: 60

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations Yes
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation

44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 382
Number of back-checks achieved: 348
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 335
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Personal (18), Telephone (364)

Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents No
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: No

44.2 Ineligibles

Number of units selected for back-check: 53
Number of back-checks achieved: 45
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 45
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal (30), Telephone (23)

45 Cleaning operations

45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)

CAPI program was checked by QC team of the company who provided CAPI software and scripted the survey, later it was tested by 2 people at data collection company, finally pre-test data file was checked for routing logic by national team.

46 Deviations

DEVIAITON:

F2 (GNDR): Substantial under-representation of male respondents in Lithuanian net-sample.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMHB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMHB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPNTYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates

47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) 4190
0 Contact forms missing 0
10 Valid interview 1835
11 Partial interview: break off 3
12 Invalid interview 51
20 Non-contact 226
30 Refusal because of opt-out list 0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Broken appointment</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Respondent deceased</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Language barrier</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Address not traceable</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Not occupied</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Address not residential: business</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Other ineligible</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Response rate:</td>
<td>59.21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 48 Estimates of Sampling error

### 49 Weighting

- Number of realised stages: 3
- Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 9
- Initial weights above 4: 4.13, 4.13, 4.13, 4.17, 4.53, 4.76, 5.00, 5.26, 5.26
- IDNOs of initial weights above 4: DeffP: 1,621

### 50 Other study-related materials

#### 50.7 Occupation coding

**Classification used in coding of occupation:**
- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

**Occupation coding procedures:**
- When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
  - At the time of the interview: No
  - Later on: Yes

**Number of occupation coders:**
- 2

**Coding procedures:**
- Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
- Was an adjudicator used: No
- If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling
on difficult cases

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No

**Short description of coding and checking procedures:**
Coding was carried out by 2 experienced coders (each of them coded approximately half of the sample) using provided code frames.
Montenegro

33 Data collector
Faculty of Political Science, University of Montenegro
13. jula br 2, 81000 Podgorica, Montenegro

34 Depositor
Olivera Komar

35 Funding agency
Ministry of Science

36 Grant number
01-3214

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH Yes
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Montenegrin and Albanian

39 Field work period(s)
22.05.2019 - 30.10.2019

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round?
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: No
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA Yes
NUTS level in data file
NUTS 1

Geographic units in dataset:
ME0 Montenegro

41 Geographic coverage
Montenegro

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling frame: A custom-built list of private dwellings, created by combining information from Geoportal and national registry, which contains information on the location of each dwelling, owners, size, etc.

Sampling design: A 3-stage design. At the first stage, the list of polling stations (N=1211), updated for April 2018 Presidential elections, is stratified by region and 288 polling stations are selected with probability proportional to number of registered voters. At the second stage, 7 dwellings are selected through systematic random sampling from each of the 288 polling stations, after sorting dwellings by house/apartment, floor level and dwelling size. Finally, one person is selected at each dwelling using the last birthday method.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 53
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 0
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: Not available
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): Not available

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 53
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4 hours or less
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: No
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: No
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: No
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: No
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country?

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: No
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1
Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): -

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: No
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
Some potential respondents were contacted on social media aside face-to-face to potentially agree to the interview.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes

If yes, please describe:
When refusal rate was unusually high for a specific interviewer, we assigned more experienced interviewers to revisit the targeted respondent.

43.9 Pretest

The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 14.05.2019 - 17.05.2019
Number of pretest interviews: 39

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
cognitive interviews: No
tape recording of interviews: No
video recording of interviews: No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations: Yes
check the CAPI script / routing: Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing: No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 365
Number of back-checks achieved: 344
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 264
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Personal and Telephone

Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: No

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check:
Number of back-checks achieved:
Number of units where outcome was confirmed:
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail:

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
Conducted a pilot test on a sample of 40 respondents to calibrate the programs time and check for branching and logic bugs. Upon the pilot we decided to exclude GPS tracking as it took to much time and didn't allow surveys to be completed and uploaded to the server.

46 Deviations
DEVATIONS:
INTAGEA: Information on age of interviewers not retrievable.

ANONYMISATION
F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire
Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) 2016
0 Contact forms missing 0
10 Valid interview 1200
11 Partial interview: break off 5
12 Invalid interview 79
20 Non-contact 139
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Broken appointment</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Respondent deceased</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Language barrier</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Address not traceable</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Not occupied</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Address not residential: business</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Other ineligible</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Response rate:</td>
<td>62.31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**48 Estimates of Sampling error**

**49 Weighting**

- Number of realised stages: 3
- Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
- Initial weights above 4: -
- IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
- DeffP: 1,265

**50 Other study-related materials**

**50.7 Occupation coding**

- Classification used in coding of occupation:
  - First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
  - First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
  - No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

- Occupation coding procedures:
  - When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
    - At the time of the interview: No
    - Later on: Yes

- Number of occupation coders:
  - 2

- Coding procedures:
  - Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): Yes
  - Was an adjudicator used: No
If yes, was adjudication done:

by sampling  No

on difficult cases  No

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process:  No

Short description of coding and checking procedures:

National coordinator and one core team member were coding the occupation after the collection was completed. NC was coding occupation, and team member partner's occupation. There were no formal instructions. We used isco 4 digit scheme. After the coding was completed they exchanged variables and coded again and then compare.
Netherlands

33 Data collector
I& O Research, Zuiderval 70, Postbus 563, 7500 AN, Enschede

34 Depositor
Dr. Stéfanie André

35 Funding agency
The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO)

36 Grant number
471-00-300

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named Yes
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation Yes

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Dutch

39 Field work period(s)
28.08.18 - 22.01.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
41 Geographic coverage
Netherlands

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling Frame:
Population register of Statistics Netherlands.

Sampling Design:
An equal-probability stratified sample design, in which 3,463 persons are selected in 48 strata split by region (north, south, east, west), age (15-25, 25-35, 35-45, 45-55, 55-65, and 65 and older) and gender (female, male).

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 111
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 21
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 37
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 3

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 111
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: No
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? No

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: Yes
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

If bonus arrangement or other, please specify:
Travel time was paid by an hourly rate if at least 4 contact attempts were made within three weeks of the issuing of the sample. One interviewer was paid for making appointments by phone and paid for each appointment that was made.

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: No
Other: No
43.6 Call schedules
First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 8
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: Yes
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: Yes
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No
Please specify: We send out an unconditional incentive of 5 euro's with the advance letter. The respondents also got 15 euro's upon completion of the interview for soft refusals and 40 euro's upon completion of the interview for hard refusals. In the last three weeks of fieldwork we offered 40 euro's upon completion for all respondents.

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes
Description of other response enhancing measures:
The advance letter refers to the website of I&O on which more information on privacy could be found. I&O also had a helpdesk (call-center) which people could call for free to make an appointment or to indicate refusal. There was also an email address available. For interviewers the fieldwork agency provided regular newsletters to show the progress we were making and to motivate them to work harder or keep up the good work. Non-contacts after 8 face-to-face contact attempts received either a final attempt by telephone (if a number was available) or a tailor-made card, aiming to establish contact. During fieldwork not-at-home cards were used which motivated respondents to make contact for an appointment with the assigned interviewer.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
Strategies to persuade respondents to participate were provided during the interviewer briefings. Several reasons for not participating were given and arguments to persuade them anyway were given. This was done by an experienced interviewer of the fieldwork agency.
In the refusal conversion round different (best-performing) interviewers were used to visit these addresses again. First respondents received a tailor-made card in which the reason for refusal was specifically addressed if possible (time, not interested, unknown with subject of interview). Furthermore, one excellent appointment maker was used to call respondents to make an appointment for an interviewer in the last weeks of fieldwork. In refusal conversion we offered 25 euro's upon completion of the interview for soft refusals and 40 euro's upon completion of the interview for hard refusals. In the last three weeks of fieldwork we offered 40 euro's upon completion for all respondents.

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 14.08.18 - 21.08.18
Number of pretest interviews: 57
44 Control operation

44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 1673
Number of back-checks achieved: 719
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 718
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Mail (718)
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: No
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

44.2 Ineligibles

Number of units selected for back-check: 0
Number of back-checks achieved: 0
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 0
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: 

45 Cleaning operations

45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)

Before the start of the fieldwork the main questionnaire was checked by the project leaders, script writer, the Dutch ESS team and members of the academic staff of the Radboud University Nijmegen. Furthermore, 57 interviewers pre-tested the questionnaire in the pilot study. Data for these first 57 interviews were also checked.

46 Deviations

DEVIATION:

D34a/b (ADVCYC): This question was erroneously translated in the Dutch questionnaire to “aged under 3”, instead of “aged under 12”. There is thus no data for D34 a/b in the integrated file for the Netherlands in ESS9, but the data for the erroneously translated question is available in a separate country specific file for the Netherlands.

INWSHH, INWSMM, INWEHH, INWEMM, INWTM: For 191 cases values cannot be calculated due to error in timing variables.
ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>3463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>1673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>1129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Address not traceable</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 Not occupied</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 Address not residential: business</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
67 Other ineligible
88 Undefined
Response rate: 49.61%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting
Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 1
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
Initial weights above 4: -
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
DeffP: 1,001

50 Other study-related materials
50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes
Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling No
on difficult cases Yes
Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No

Short description of coding and checking procedures:
Coding was done manually by two representatives of the fieldwork organisation, and was already started during fieldwork (in batches) because of the large number of items that needed coding. In difficult cases the ESS project manager of the fieldwork organisation was available for answering questions.
Norway

33 Data collector
Ipsos Norway
Karenslyst Allé 20, 0278 Oslo

34 Depositor
David Gulstad, Fieldwork Organisation

35 Funding agency
The Research Council of Norway

36 Grant number
151296/H30

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
   Standard ESS contact form
   As is
   Individual_Named: No
   HH_BIRTH: No
   HH_Kish: No
   Address_Birth: No
   Address_KISH: No
   Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
   Individual_Named: No
   HH_BIRTH: No
   HH_Kish: No
   Address_Birth: No
   Address_KISH: No
   Contact form from Field work organisation: Yes

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Norwegian

39 Field work period(s)
04.10.18 - 16.05.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA Yes
and Candidate countries?
NUTS level in data file  NUTS 2

**Geographic units in dataset:**
NO01 - Oslo og Akershus
NO02 - Hedmark og Oppland
NO03 - Sør-Østlandet
NO04 - Agder og Rogaland
NO05 - Vestlandet
NO06 - Trøndelag
NO07 - Nord-Norge

41 Geographic coverage
Norway

42 Sampling procedure

Sampling Design:
Stratified one stage equal probability sampling of persons will be used. Stratification is made by age groups, gender, and region. Within strata: simple random sampling of persons.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?  No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 117
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 0
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 36
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 18

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 117
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: No
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: No
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: No
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country?

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: No
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: Yes
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: Yes
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 8
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 2
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 4

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes
Please specify:
We did send vouchers by email from head office after interview was done and also asked about interview situation *extra back check

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
CATI and F2F recruitment

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
Refusal conversion allocated to interviewers that have worked with the Fieldwork organisation for long time and have good skills with working with refusal from other projects. Refusers have also got an additional infoletter about the importance of participating

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 23.07.18 - 05.09.18
Number of pretest interviews: 39

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
cognitive interviews: No
tape recording of interviews: No
video recording of interviews: No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations: Yes
check the CAPI script / routing: Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing: No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 683
Number of back-checks achieved: 100
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 100
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

44.2 Ineligibles
- Number of units selected for back-check: 106
- Number of back-checks achieved: 42
- Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 42
- Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Telephone and Mail

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
Thorough testing of the CAPI-questionnaire by CentERdata, administrative staff and interviewers from Fieldwork Organisation and National Coordinator (e.g. through the pilot).
Review and comparison of the program regarding selections, response options etc., to ensure consistency with the data protocol

46 Deviations
ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPA):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPA is 2 (Son/daughter/foster/step-adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPA for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPTNYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire
Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals): 3300
- 0 Contact forms missing: 0
- 10 Valid interview: 1406
- 11 Partial interview: break off: 1
- 12 Invalid interview: 0
- 20 Non-contact: 27
- 30 Refusal because of opt-out list: 0
- 31 Broken appointment: 0
- 32 Refusal by respondent: 1315
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Respondent deceased</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Language barrier</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Address not traceable</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Not occupied</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Address not residential: business</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Other ineligible</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>Occupation coding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**48 Estimates of Sampling error**

**49 Weighting**

Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

- Number of realised stages: 1
- Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
- Initial weights above 4: -
- IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
- DeffP: 1,000

**50 Other study-related materials**

**50.7 Occupation coding**

- Classification used in coding of occupation: Yes
  - First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08:
  - First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08:
  - No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08:

- Occupation coding procedures:
  - When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
    - At the time of the interview: No
    - Later on: Yes

- Number of occupation coders: 3
  - Coding procedures:
    - Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
    - Was an adjudicator used: No
    - If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling on difficult cases

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process:

**Short description of coding and checking procedures:**

We used our 3 specialized staff members that have been trained and done several jobs as a coder for many years. We used information published in ESS internanet and documents given by NSD to combining available information from verbatim recorded questions to fit categories as described in the ISCO08
Poland

33 Data collector
A consortium of two companies: Realizacja sp. z o.o. and Kantar Polska S.A.

34 Depositor
Pawel B. Sztabinski, National Coordinator

35 Funding agency
The Ministry of Science and Higher Education

36 Grant number
DIR/WK/2017/10

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named: No
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No

Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named: Yes
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Contact form from Field work organisation: No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Polish

39 Field work period(s)
26.10.18 - 20.03.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: No
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
Suggested grouping of the regional categories:
For analytical purposes, we recommend grouping regions into six NUTS1 categories (by the first digit).

NUTS level in data file  NUTS 2

Geographic units in dataset:
PL11 Lodzkie
PL12 Mazowieckie
PL21 Malopolskie
PL22 Slaskie
PL31 Lubelskie
PL32 Podkarpackie
PL33 Swietokrzyskie
PL34 Podlaskie
PL41 Wielkopolskie
PL42 Zachodniopomorskie
PL43 Lubuskie
PL51 Dolnoslaskie
PL52 Opolskie
PL61 Kujawsko-Pomorskie
PL62 Warminsko-Mazurskie
PL63 Pomorskie

41 Geographic coverage
Poland

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling frame:
National register of citizens PESEL.

Sampling Design:
The sample design has two sampling domains, each with a different sampling scheme:
1. A Simple Random Sample (SRS) for towns over 50,000 inhabitants (36.45% of population 15+)
2. A clustered sample for towns below 50,000 and villages (63.55% of population 15+)

Sampling Domain: Towns over 50,000 inhabitants.
This part of the sample covers all 84 towns over 50,000 inhabitants. The corresponding population size is 11,885,332, individuals aged 15 or over. Individuals in each town are selected using simple random sampling. The gross sample size in each town is proportional to the town’s population 15+.

Sampling Domain: Towns below 50,000 and villages
This part of the sample is selected using a two-stage sampling design. The corresponding population size is 20,724,007 individuals aged 15 or over. At the first stage, a required number of towns and villages (PSUs) is selected with probabilities proportional to the number of inhabitants aged 15+. The PSUs are selected with replacement, so a PSU can be selected more than once. Then a constant number of 4 persons (a cluster) is selected within each PSU, which constitutes the second stage of sampling. The individuals are selected following the simple random sample schema.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

- Total number of interviewers: 151
- Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 60
- Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
- Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

- How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 151
- Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
- Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
- Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: No
- Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
- Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: No
- Training in refusal conversion: Yes
- Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
- Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:

- Photos: Yes
- Video-tape recording: No
- If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country?: Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

- Free-lance interviewers: Yes
- Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
- Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

- Hourly rate: No
- Per completed interview: Yes
- Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
- A regular fixed salary: No
- Bonus arrangement: Yes
- Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
- Other: No

If bonus arrangement or other, please specify:

1. All the interviewers received the gifts prepared for the respondents, i.e. a wall calendar and a book with a discussion of selected ESS findings. 2. Interviewers’ remuneration was progressive and depended on the individual response rate achieved. 3. A cash bonus was offered to the best-performing interviewers who achieved the highest individual response rate and to those handling difficult cases, especially ones that had been re-issued. A cash bonus was also paid to those regional coordinators who achieved the highest response rates. Additionally, the best interviewers received a letter of appreciation signed by the Director of the Institute of Philosophy and Sociology, Polish Academy of Sciences, and the NC of ESS.

43.5 Advance information
Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:

Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: No
Other please specify:
The pilot study revealed that the respondents had not read the ESS brochure, so the interviewers additionally received a shorter version of the brochure to be used at doorstep interaction.

43.6 Call schedules
First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: Yes
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No

Please specify:
Two types of gifts were prepared for the sampled persons. The main gift was a wall calendar with a photo of the Staszic Palace (the seat of the Institute of Philosophy and Sociology Polish Academy of Sciences, indicated as the survey sponsor in the advance letters) and with the ESS logo printed on it. The approx. cost of production was EUR 3.50 (the perceived value of that gift might be much higher). However, if the sampled person was not interested in the calendar or made critical comments about that gift, the interviewed suggested a specially prepared book containing a discussion of selected, particularly interested ESS findings: attitudes towards immigrants, attitudes towards energy sources and climate change (towards the end of 2018, Poland hosted the United Nations Climate Change Conference), how education translates into occupational status (is it a good idea to get education?) etc. The book was sent by the NC with a thank-you letter for participation in the survey. Additionally, the overall respondents’ assessment of gifts, their comments and reactions to the selected gift were recorded in the Contact Form.

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
We provided a toll-free telephone number and a special website for the sampled persons. The previous website with full information about the project had been updated and modified in order to enhance visibility and interest, and also to dissipate concerns or doubts. A lot of attention in the FAQ section was devoted to the General Data Protection Regulation. The URL address (http://www.ifispan.pl/ess) was provided in both advance letters and on the inserts. The website is subdivided into the following sections:
- Introduction, with general information about the ESS, including the official ESS website,
information that the ESS was awarded the Descartes Research Prize in the EU, and that the ESS in Poland was included in the Polish Roadmap for Research Infrastructures by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education;
- Information for the respondents: the content of the core questionnaire and the rotating modules for all ESS Rounds (with a short description); a list of participating countries for each ESS Round, two sample advance letters and a sample of the interviewer ID, and FAQs;
- ESS results in the Polish language: a graphical data viewer illustrating answer distributions for all ESS rounds in all participating countries, dissemination-related activities (a list of publications, conferences etc.);
- A list of Polish ESS Team Members;
- Contact details (including e-mail addresses) of the fieldwork organisation and the National Coordinator plus a toll-free telephone number of the Centre of Sociological Research at the Institute of Philosophy and Sociology, Polish Academy of Sciences.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion

Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes

If yes, please describe:
In the case of ‘soft refusals’ (if the respondent hesitated or a refusal was declared by another person etc.), the respondent was visited again by another interviewer, or, if not possible, by the same interviewer. The decision to attempt refusal conversion was made by the regional coordinator of the interviewers’ network in consultation with the interviewer (who had contacted the respondent). Interviewers made another contact attempt within one week or, in exceptional situations, within two weeks. Also, hard refusals were analysed to identify potential conversion possibilities. In such situations, conversion attempts were made after 2–3 weeks following the refusal.

43.9 Pretest

The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 03.09.18 - 09.09.18
Number of pretest interviews: 30

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe: Interviewers completed a dedicated questionnaire for each interview, with a summery of their comments. The questionnaire also served as an instruction for the pilot interview.

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations Yes
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No
44 Control operation

44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 1268
Number of back-checks achieved: 482
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 477

Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Personal (38) and Telephone (444)

Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: No
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes

Checks on the selection of respondents: No
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

Other, please describe:
Moreover, the back-checks were conducted on refusals [B+C] and non-contacts [E]. The back-checks on refusals included 42 cases: 7.4% (17 personal and 25 telephone interviews). The back-checks on non-contacts included 36 cases: 12% (14 personal and 22 telephone interviews). The back-checks on non-contacts and refusals are necessary because some interviewers avoid ‘worse’ areas in cities and more distant village addresses, by filling in one of the possible reasons for failing to conduct the interview.

44.2 Ineligibles

Number of units selected for back-check: 22
Number of back-checks achieved: 12
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 12

Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal (3) and Telephone (9)

45 Cleaning operations

45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)

1. Before the start of the pilot study, three staff members who participated in the preparation of the final questionnaire (and knew it well) tested the CAPI script. 2. The script was tested also in connection with the pilot study. 3. After introducing changes necessitated by the pilot study, the script was tested again by the staff members mentioned in point 1.

46 Deviations

DEVIAITION:

Ha-Hu (IPCRTIV-IMPFUN): Data for question Ha (IPCRTIV) through Hu (IMPFUN) is missing (99) for 16 interviews due to poor interview quality.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCEDE), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.
ANONYMISATION:

C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

C25 (LNGHOM1): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNTC), C30 (MBRNCNTC): A few substantive responses have been recoded to missing (99) by the national team.

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): A number of substantive responses have been aggregated to broader categories by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

### 47 Response rates

#### 47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term) 48
51 Respondent moved out of country 180
52 Respondent moved to unknown destination 92
53 Respondent has moved, still in country 103
54 Address not traceable 2
61 Derelict or demolished house 5
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation 2
63 Not occupied 5
64 Address not residential: business 0
65 Address not residential: institution 7
67 Other ineligible 0
88 Undefined 0
Response rate: 60.36%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting
Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 2
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
Initial weights above 4: -
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
DeffP: 1,004

50 Other study-related materials
50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

Number of occupation coders:
1
Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling No
on difficult cases Yes
Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No

Short description of coding and checking procedures:
A dedicated computer program has been used to support coding occupations with ISCO-08.
Portugal

33 Data collector
Institute of Social Sciences, University of Lisbon/
Metris S.A (information on fieldwork carried out by Metris S.A. can be found on page 179a/b in this document)

34 Depositor
Alice Ramos, National Coordinator
Teresa Gomes, Fieldwork Organisation

35 Funding agency
Programa Operacional Regional de Lisboa, (through the European Regional Development Fund); National Science Foundation (through national funds PIDDAC)

36 Grant number
PASSDA (FCT/022210)

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH Yes
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Portuguese

39 Field work period(s)
26.11.2018 - 25.12.2019 (Institute of Social Sciences, University of Lisbon)
27.10.2019 - 23.12.2019 (Metris S.A.)
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No

Statistical inference possible at the regional level: No

Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes

NUTS level in data file NUTS 2

Geographic units in dataset:
PT11 - Norte
PT15 - Algarve
PT16 - Centro
PT17 - Lisboa
PT18 - Alentejo

41 Geographic coverage
Mainland of Portugal (islands excluded)

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling frame: List of EDP residential clients. EDP, the electricity company, has a monopoly on electricity supply contracts in the country.

Sampling design: Two-domain design, based on classifying postcode areas (codigo postal, CP). All CPs with fewer than 15,203 households on the EDP list form the first domain. The CPs are ordered alphanumerically, which provides implicit geographical stratification by postal region. 155 PSUs (CPs) were selected at the first stage, with probability proportional to number of EDP households. Within each PSUs 11 households were drawn from the EDP list. Finally, one target person was selected within each household by the last birthday method. All CPs with more than 15,202 EDP households were included in the second domain, with the CPs forming strata. Within these strata 1,910 households were drawn, allocated to the CPs in proportion to the total number of households and selected by simple random sampling within each CP. Within each address one target person was selected by the last birthday method.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No

When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 55
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 7
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 14
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 3

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 55
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: more than 8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country?: Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: Yes
If other, please specify: Research Grants

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: Yes
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: Yes
If bonus arrangement or other, please specify: Reimbursement of travel expenses

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: No
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
43.6 Call schedules
First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: Yes
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No

Please specify:
Voucher of 5 € to be spent on a supermarket chain (SONAE).

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): No

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes

If yes, please describe:
Replacement of interviewer; selection of initial refusals based on the type of refusal and on the assessment of future cooperation

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 25.11.2018 - 20.11.2018
Number of pretest interviews: 49

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
cognitive interviews: Yes
tape recording of interviews: Yes
video recording of interviews: No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations: Yes
check the CAPI script / routing: Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing: No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 400
Number of back-checks achieved: 271
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 253
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Personal and Telephone

Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for: Yes
achieving the fieldwork outcome code:

Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes
Other, please describe:
Checks if the incentive was delivered

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 246
Number of back-checks achieved: 246
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 187
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal

45 Cleaning operations

45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
The CAPI script was verified by two members of the research team. A few interviews within the team (not accounted for in the back-checks figures) were made comparing the capi version with the paper version. Nevertheless, when preparing the data for deposit we spotted a filter error:
F13: respondents that should have responded to this question (those NOT living with children) didn't do it.

46 Deviations

DEVIATION:

F13 (CHLDHHE): Filter error. Question has been asked to the wrong subset of respondents. All cases reporting that they live with children in F4 (RSHIPAn) variable, have been given this question. All cases reporting that they do not live with children (no code 2 in any F4 variable) have been routed past question F13 (CHLDHHE).

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): The underlying national questionnaire item (Showcard) has changed since previous round.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C21 (CTZSHIPD): Variable aggregated to broader groups for anonymity reasons.

C23 (CNTBRTHD): Variable aggregated to broader groups for anonymity reasons.
C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

C28, C30 (FBRNCNTC, MBRNCNTC): Variable aggregated to broader groups for anonymity reasons.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates

47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>3617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>1055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Address not traceable</td>
<td>387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 Not occupied</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 Address not residential: business</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 Other ineligible</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 Undefined</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate:</td>
<td>34.92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting

Number of realised stages: 3
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

Number of occupation coders:
1

Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling Yes
on difficult cases Yes

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No

Short description of coding and checking procedures:
Coding was made using an excel/file, according to the occupation's description given by respondents. The coder is an experienced researcher from ICS. Almost 50% of the coding was checked by an adjudicator of the National Research Team (ICS-UL).
Serbia

33 Data collector
Institute for Sociology and Social Research, Faculty of Philosophy Belgrade

34 Depositor
Dragan Stanojević

35 Funding agency
HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation SRB

36 Grant number
C013/2018

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish Yes
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish Yes
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Serbian

39 Field work period(s)
01.10.18 - 01.03.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round?
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries?
Yes
41 Geographic coverage
Serbia (excluding the region of Kosovo and Metohija)

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling Frame: List of dwellings enumerated in 2011 Census

Sampling Design: A three-stage, stratified cluster sampling approach was used. At the first stage, 721 Census enumeration areas were selected as PSUs using stratified PPS sampling, where the eight strata were defined by type of settlement (urban and other), and region (Belgrade, Vojvodina, Sumadija and Western Serbia, and Southern and Eastern Serbia) and the size measure was number of households enumerated in the 2011 Census. There were 39,711 enumeration areas in the frame with 63 households on average per enumeration area. At the second stage, five households were selected from each PSU using systematic equal-probability sampling. At the third stage, one person was selected from each household using the Kish method implemented in the FMS app.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 142
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 0
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 142
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: Yes
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? No

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: Yes
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

If bonus arrangement or other, please specify:
For each CF we paid extra

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No
please specify:
43.6 Call schedules
First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes
Please specify:
We used a non-monetary incentive: a package of coffee for all respondents who agreed to participate in the survey. At the doorstep, before the interview was conducted, they are offered a small symbolic gift if they participate in the survey.

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
We had a media campaign for enhancing response rate. We had TV promotion, and web promotion (through facebook).

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
Reissuing a certain number of soft refusals. These contacts are given to more experienced and successful interviewers.

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 09.08.18 - 20.08.18
Number of pretest interviews: 40

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations Yes
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 360
Number of back-checks achieved: 360
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 332
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Personal (20) and Telephone (340)
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 42
Number of back-checks achieved: 42
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 42
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal (8) and Telephone (34)

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
We used the CAPI for the first time. We had comprehensive checks of CAPI script /routes before the fieldwork started, and had very intensive monitoring during the first weeks of the fieldwork.

46 Deviations
DEVIAION:
G16a/b/c (FRLNETI): Filter error. 129 respondents who should not have had this question, have been routed to this question due to an error in the CAPI script. Thus, this question have substantive data for additionally 129 interviews.

Ha-Hu (IPCRTIV-IMPFUN): Data for question Ha (IPCRTIV) through Hu (IMPFUN) is missing (99) for 6 interviews due to poor interview quality.

ANONYMISATION:
F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSDPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.
### 47 Response rates

#### 47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>3605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>2043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Address not traceable</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 Not occupied</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 Address not residential: business</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 Other ineligible</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 Undefined</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response rate:</strong></td>
<td><strong>57.88%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 48 Estimates of Sampling error

### 49 Weighting

Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

- Number of realised stages: 3
- Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 3
- Initial weights above 4: 4.02, 4.43, 4.86
- IDNOs of initial weights above 4: 37955, 9113, 4461
- DeffP: 1,314

### 50 Other study-related materials

#### 50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:

First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

**Occupation coding procedures:**
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

**Number of occupation coders:**
8

Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): Yes
Was an adjudicator used: Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling No
on difficult cases Yes
Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No
Slovakia

33 Data collector
Actly s.r.o.

34 Depositor
Michal Kentos

35 Funding agency
Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic

36 Grant number
172/04

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH Yes
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Slovak, Hungarian

39 Field work period(s)
14.06.19 - 07.12.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
NUTS level in data file

Geographic units in dataset:
SK010 Bratislavský kraj
SK021 Trnavský kraj
SK022 Trenčiansky kraj
SK023 Nitriansky kraj
SK031 Žilinský kra
SK032 Banskobystrický kraj
SK041 Prešovský kra
SK042 Košický kraj

41 Geographic coverage
Slovakia

42 Sampling procedure

Sampling Frame: None, dwellings were enumerated and listed in the field in each selected PSU.

Sampling Design: Stratified four-stage design.

At the first stage, municipalities were stratified by region (8) and municipality size (6), giving 39 non-empty strata. 284 PSUs were allocated to the strata proportional to size of the 15+ population and municipalities were selected from each stratum as PSUs with probability proportional to population size (15+).

The second stage applied only in medium and large municipalities. A number of streets, equal to the number of times the municipality had been selected, was selected in each municipality. The number was often one, but more than one in the largest municipalities. Streets with fewer than 7 dwellings were first combined with an adjacent street and thereafter treated as a single street.

At stage 3, all dwellings in each sampling point were listed by an enumerator in the field. The sampling point in medium and large municipalities consisted of a street, while in smaller municipalities the entire municipality constituted the sampling point. All listings were then returned to the central office and a simple random sample of 7 dwellings was selected in each sampling point.

At stage 4 one resident was selected at each dwelling by applying the Kish method through the ESS Field Management System.

42.1 Use of opt-out list

Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No

When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

- Total number of interviewers: 81
- Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 40
- Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 5
- Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

- How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 81
- Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
- Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
- Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
- Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
- Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
- Training in refusal conversion: Yes
- Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
- Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

**Materials on observable and dwelling information:**

- Photos: Yes
- Video-tape recording: No
- If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country?: Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

- Free-lance interviewers: Yes
- Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
- Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

- Hourly rate: No
- Per completed interview: Yes
- Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): Yes
- A regular fixed salary: No
- Bonus arrangement: No
- Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: Yes
- Other: No

43.5 Advance information

- Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
  - Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
  - Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
  - Other: No
  - please specify:

43.6 Call schedules

- First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: No
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures: advance letters to mayors of selected municipalities

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: No

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 11.05.2019 - 19.05.2019
Number of pretest interviews: 30
Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations Yes
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 300
Number of back-checks achieved: 150
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 150
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Personal: 290, Telephone: 10
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

Other, please describe:
feedback about interesting and difficult parts of the interview

44.2 Ineligibles

Number of units selected for back-check: 100
Number of back-checks achieved: 30
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 70
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal: 70

45 Cleaning operations

45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)

routing and randomization verification based on dummy interviews

46 Deviations

DEVIATIONS:

D12 (NGCHLD) and D14 (GGCHLD): Filter error: These questions have not been asked to respondents whose first child or grandchild was born in 2003 (D10 (FCLDBRN) or D13 (YGCDBYR)).

G15a (FRLGRSP): 12 cases did not get this question due to error in the CAPI script.

ANONYMISATION:

F3 (AGEA/YRBRN): A few substantive responses have been substituted by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPTNYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates

47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) 2800
0 Contact forms missing 0
10 Valid interview 1083
11 Partial interview: break off 0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>1081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Address not traceable</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 Not occupied</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 Address not residential: business</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 Other ineligible</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 Undefined</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response rate:</strong></td>
<td><strong>39.55%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 48 Estimates of Sampling error

### 49 Weighting
- Number of realised stages: 4
- Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 1
- Initial weights above 4: 4.18
- IDNOs of initial weights above 4:
  - DeffP: 1,265

### 50 Other study-related materials

#### 50.7 Occupation coding
- **Classification used in coding of occupation:**
  - First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
  - First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
  - No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

- **Occupation coding procedures:**
  - When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
    - At the time of the interview: No
    - Later on: Yes

- **Number of occupation coders:** 4
- **Coding procedures:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding):</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was an adjudicator used:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, was adjudication done:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by sampling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on difficult cases</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Slovenia

33 Data collector
University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences, Public Opinion and Mass Communication Research Center

34 Depositor
Slavko Kurdija (NC)

35 Funding agency
Slovenian Research Agency, Ministry of Education, Science and Sport

36 Grant number
Infrastructure programme I0-0022-0510

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named: Yes
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named: No
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Contact form from Field work organisation: No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Slovenian

39 Field work period(s)
24.09.18 - 01.02.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA: Yes
and Candidate countries?

NUTS level in data file  NUTS 3

Geographic units in dataset:
SI011 - Pomurska
SI012 - Podravska
SI013 - Koroska
SI014 - Savinjska
SI015 - Zasavska
SI016 - Spodnjeposavska
SI017 - Jugovzhodna Slovenija
SI018 - Notranjsko-kraska
SI021 - Osrednjeslovenska
SI022 - Gorenjska
SI023 - Goriska
SI024 - Obalno-kraska

41 Geographic coverage
Slovenia

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling Frame: Central register of population (CRP)

Sampling Design:
Two-stage probability sampling design with stratification at the first stage. The first stage involves PPS selection of 300 small areas, after stratification by region (12) and type of settlement (6), where the size measure is the number of persons aged 15+ on the population register. The second stage involves simple random sampling of 7 persons in each of the 300 PSUs.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country?  No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 55
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 33
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 4
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 3

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 55
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? No

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: Yes
If other, please specify: Students (3)

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: Yes
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: Yes
Other: No
If bonus arrangement or other, please specify: Fee for travel costs and seminar attending fee.

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: Yes
43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 5
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives

Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes

Please specify:
Every participant was awarded with 8€ gift card (for a major retail chain in Slovenia).

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
Telephone helpline. Additional info on the web page. Special motivational letter for conversions and reissuing cases. Handwritten letters from interviewers for more difficult respondents.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion

Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes

If yes, please describe:
Additional letter. Offering some higher incentives for refusal cases in few occasions. We selected the best interviewers for this task. Most cases for conversion and re-issuing were selected by responsive design estimates - it means that we targeted a bit more on under-representative type of cases or PSUs.

43.9 Pretest

The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 05.09.18 - 11.09.18
Number of pretest interviews: 30

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe: 

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations Yes
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation

44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 266
Number of back-checks achieved: 137
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 137
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Personal (1) and Telephone (265)

Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

Other, please describe:
We back-checked additional 518 respondents by mail with attached short questionnaire also about: the interviewers approach evaluation, impact of the incentive and comprehensibility of the questionnaire.

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 20
Number of back-checks achieved: 11
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 11
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Telephone

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
CAPI routing was additionally re-checked before the fieldwork by the national and the fieldwork team. Routing was controlled also in the pre-test.

46 Deviations
DEVIAION:
F47-49 (ISCO08P), F50 (EMPRELP), F51 (WKHTOTP): Due to an error in the CAPI program, 141 respondents which should have been routed to variables F47-F51 were erroneously routed past these variables. Of these 141 respondents, 71 were successfully re-interviewed. However, 70 records still have missing data for ISCO08P, EMPRELP and WKHTOTP.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:
F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:
C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBIRTHD): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.
C28 (FBRNCNTC), C30 (MBRNCNTC): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.
F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to
broader categories by the national team.

REGION: A value for a few substantive responses have been substituted by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster/step/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>2100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>1318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Address not traceable</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 Not occupied</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
64 Address not residential: business  2
65 Address not residential: institution  0
67 Other ineligible  2
88 Undefined  0

Response rate:  64.11%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting

Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 2
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
Initial weights above 4: -
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
DeffP: 1,000

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08:  No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08:  No
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08:  Yes

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview:  No
Later on:  Yes

Number of occupation coders:  1
Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding):  Yes
Was an adjudicator used:  Yes
If yes, was adjudication done:
by sampling  No
on difficult cases  Yes
Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process:  No

Short description of coding and checking procedures:
Coding was performed by the dedicated experienced person. Some special cases were discussed within the national team.
Spain

33 Data collector
Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas

34 Depositor
Javier de Esteban/Mónica Méndez

35 Funding agency
Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas/Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación

36 Grant number

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named Yes
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Spanish and Catalan

39 Field work period(s)
08.11.19 - 27.01.20

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round?
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: No
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
NUTS level in data file NUTS 2
**Geographic units in dataset:**
ES11 Galicia
ES12 Principado de Asturias
ES13 Cantabria
ES21 País Vasco
ES22 Comunidad Foral de Navarra
ES23 La Rioja
ES24 Aragón
ES30 Comunidad de Madrid
ES41 Castilla y León
ES42 Castilla la-Mancha
ES43 Extremadura
ES51 Cataluña
ES52 Comunidad Valenciana
ES53 Islas Baleares
ES61 Andalucía
ES62 Región de Murcia
ES63 Ciudad Autónoma de Ceuta
ES64 Ciudad Autónoma de Melilla
ES70 Canarias

**41 Geographic coverage**
Spain (including Ceuta and Melilla)

**42 Sampling procedure**
Sampling frame: The Continuous Population Register (Padrón Continuo) updated in 2018 by the INE (Spanish National Statistics Institute).

Sampling design: 2-stage design. At the first stage, 464 Census sections were selected by a stratified sample. The strata were built by the crossing of two population classification criteria: region of residence (17 regions/Autonomous Communities + one grouping for Ceuta + Melilla) and size of habitat (4 categories). Selection with probability proportional to the number of residents aged 15 and over within the census sections. At the second sampling stage, 7 persons were selected from each census section by systematic selection with equal selection probabilities. The ordering for the systematic selection was done by addresses.

**42.1 Use of opt-out list**
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No

When does the opt-out list take effect:

If yes, please include a short description of the opt-out list and its use:
There is one for surveys done used for commercial purposes, but this does not have an impact in our sample frame (the Population Register managed by the National Statistics Institute).
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 176
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 103
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 0

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 176
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: No
Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: No
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:
Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: No
Other: No

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: No
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other please specify: 

43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 4
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives

Respondent incentives: No
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No

Other response enhancing measures:

Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:

Respondents were provided with a toll free number and an e-mail address to write to in order to contact CIS (the fieldwork organisation). In the entry page to CIS webpage ("Info section") there was an announcement stating that the ESS fieldwork was taking place. An advance letter was sent to all individuals who had been selected in the sample. In Catalonia, Balearic Islands and part of Comunidad Valenciana the letter was sent both in Catalan and in Spanish. During the 6-7th week of fieldwork a reminder letter was sent to soft refusals and to cases in which no contact with the sampled person had been established. The leaflet (in Spanish and in Catalan in the relevant cases) was sent together with the two letters mentioned above.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion

Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes

If yes, please describe:
All soft refusal (and some unclear soft-hard) cases were sent a letter with a reminder insisting on how important it was that everyone participated in the survey in order to achieve data that are representative of the whole population. The letter included a toll-free number and an e-mail address to contact the CIS. A selection of refusals were reassigned to a new interviewer (usually to one with high response rate in the previous weeks).

43.9 Pretest

The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 17.10.19 - 23.10.19
Number of pretest interviews: 35

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations Yes
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No

44 Control operation

44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 446
Number of back-checks achieved: 217
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 217
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: No
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

Other, please describe:
Being an individual name sample we did not check on the selection of respondents, but whether the interview had taken place and the person interviewed corresponded to the characteristics of the sampled person. Back checks also consisted on asking whether certain questions/topics had been covered during the interview.
In the case of back-checks of ineligibles most were personal back-check, but in some cases these correspond to mail checks when the advance letter of cases marked by interviewers as unoccupied dwellings. In those cases we sent a second letter and we have counted as back-checked those which were again returned by the postal service.

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 25
Number of back-checks achieved: 18
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 18
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal and Mail

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
We used both the pilot and a previous test of the CAPI program for the contact form. In spite of those checks during the data processing we realized fifty cases had not been asked the proper version of question D29b (split sample) only in those cases where the interview was carried out in Catalan (by mistake in these cases respondents were asked D28b twice). This means that there are 40 cases of "no answer" in variable TOWKHT corresponding to these instances.

46 Deviations
DEVIATIONS:
D29b (TOWKHT): Filter error. Data for this question is missing for 40 interviews due to a filter error in the Catalan version of the Spanish questionnaire.
IINWEHH, IINWEMM, INWTM: For 187 cases values cannot be calculated due to error in timing variables.

ITEM NON-RESPONSE:
One case (IDNO: 69548) has more than 50% Refusal, Don't know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:
F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): The underlying national questionnaire item (Showcard) has changed since previous round.

ANONYMISATION:

C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive answers have been aggregated to a broader category by national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHiPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>3248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>1668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Address not traceable</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
61 Derelict or demolished house 1
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation 1
63 Not occupied 30
64 Address not residential: business 5
65 Address not residential: institution 8
67 Other ineligible 6
88 Undefined 1
Response rate: 53.81%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting
  Number of realised stages: 2
  Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
  Initial weights above 4: -
  IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
  DeffP: 1,014

50 Other study-related materials
50.7 Occupation coding
  Classification used in coding of occupation:
  First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the
  ISCO08: No
  First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
  No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08:
  Yes
  Occupation coding procedures:
  When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
  At the time of the interview: No
  Later on: Yes
  Number of occupation coders:
  6
  Coding procedures:
  Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): Yes
  Was an adjudicator used: Yes
  If yes, was adjudication done:
  by sampling No
  on difficult cases Yes
  Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: No
  Short description of coding and checking procedures:
  The coding consisted on the following steps:

1. First, interviewers register respondents’ literal answer to the questions on occupation.
2. Coders assign a 4-digit ISCO08 code to respondents’ answers.
3. A back-coding procedure is implemented always when coding proved difficult.
Sweden

33 Data collector
Ipsos Observer Sweden, S:t Göransgatan 63, Box 12236, 102 26 Stockholm

34 Depositor
Filip Fors, National Coordinator’s team

35 Funding agency
Vetenskapsrådet (The Swedish Research Council)

36 Grant number
2017-00667

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named: Yes
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named: No
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Contact form from Field work organisation: No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
Swedish

39 Field work period(s)
30.08.18- 23.05.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? Yes
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
NUTS level in data file

Geographic units in dataset:
SE11 Stockholm
SE12 Östra Mellansverige
SE21 Småland med öarna
SE22 Sydsverige
SE23 Västsverige
SE31 Norra Mellansverige
SE32 Mellersta Norrland
SE33 Övre Norrland

41 Geographic coverage
Sweden

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling Frame: Register of the population.

Sampling Design: Stratified simple random sampling.
The strata were the eight NUTS-2 regions in Sweden. The number of individuals
selected from each region was proportional to the population (aged 15+) size in the
region.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
### 43 Fieldwork procedures

#### 43.1 Interviewer selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of interviewers</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 43.2 Briefing of interviewers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer</td>
<td>more than 8 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the ESS briefing example interview (&quot;dummy interview&quot;) conducted using the guidance provided</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training in refusal conversion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training on how to fill in contact forms</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Materials on observable and dwelling information:**

- Photos: Yes
- Video-tape recording: No

If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? Yes

#### 43.3 Employment status of interviewers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free-lance interviewers</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees of the fieldwork organisation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 43.4 Payments of interviewers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hourly rate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per completed interview</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A regular fixed salary</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonus arrangement</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:

- Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
- Made available by interviewer on doorstep: No
- Other: No

#### 43.6 Call schedules

First contact by: Telephone
Number of minimum required calls per respondent (in total): 20

43.7 Respondent incentives

Respondent incentives: Yes

Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: Yes
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: Yes
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: No

Other response enhancing measures:

Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
- Web-page
- Toll-free telephone number

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion

Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes

If yes, please describe:
All refusals were re-contacted by special refusal conversion interviewers. Non-contacts and "soft refusals" were contacted again by letter. Extra incentives were also used.

43.9 Pretest

The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 20.06.18 - 01.08.18

Number of pretest interviews: 50

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
- face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
- cognitive interviews: No
- tape recording of interviews: No
- video recording of interviews: No

other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
- check the translations: Yes
- check the CAPI script / routing: Yes
- check the PAPI questionnaire / routing: No

44 Control operation

44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 1100
Number of back-checks achieved: 450
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 450
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone

Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No

Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes

Checks on the selection of respondents: No
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

Other, please describe:
If the respondent revised their incentive

### 44.2 Ineligibles

- Number of units selected for back-check:
- Number of back-checks achieved:
- Number of units where outcome was confirmed:
- Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail:

### 45 Cleaning operations

#### 45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)

Sweden used the CentERdata FMS system, both server and interviewer client. Procedures where checked by Ipsos Central and CentERdata.

### 46 Deviations

**DEVIATIONS:**

- D12 (NGCHLD), D14 (GGCHLD): Filter error: Respondents answering refusal or don't know on questions D8 to D11, or D13, were not routed away from questions D12 and D14 as they should have been.

**ITEM NON-RESPONSE:**

Two cases (IDNO: 16519, 49400) has more than 50% Refusal, Don't know or No answer in Main questionnaire.

**VARIABLE HARMONISATION:**

- F61 (ANCTRY1 and ANCTRY2): The underlying national questionnaire item (Showcard) has changed since previous round.

**ANONYMISATION:**

- C21 (CTZHIPD): For some cases value has been aggregated to a higher level.
- C23 (CNTBRTD): For some cases value has been aggregated to a higher level.
- C28, C30 (FBRNCNTC, MBRNCNTC): For some cases value has been aggregated to a higher level.
- F3 (AGEA): For some cases the value has been rounded. Variable is top coded to 90.
- F61 (ANCTRY1 ANCTRY2): For some cases value has been aggregated to a higher level.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YBRRN, YBRRN2-YBRRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):

- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YBRRN2-YBRRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YBRRNn and RSHIPIp for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

- C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.
D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500.

### 47 Response rates
#### 47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>4082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>1539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>1433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Address not traceable</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 Not occupied</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 Address not residential: business</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 Other ineligible</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 Undefined</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate:</td>
<td>39.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 48 Estimates of Sampling error

### 49 Weighting

- Number of realised stages: 1
- Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
- Initial weights above 4: -
- IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
- DeffP: 1,0

### 50 Other study-related materials
50.7 Occupation coding

**Classification used in coding of occupation:**
- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: Yes

**Occupation coding procedures:**
- When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
  - At the time of the interview: No
  - Later on: Yes

**Number of occupation coders:**
- 2

**Coding procedures:**
- Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): Yes
- Was an adjudicator used: No
- If yes, was adjudication done:
  - by sampling
  - on difficult cases

**Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process:**
- No

**Short description of coding and checking procedures:**
No written instructions was used but the people who did the coding had extensive experience of coding from previous waves of ESS. Two coders worked in parallel. Coders carried out the coding using the Ascribe software. Answers that were not coded in the same way by the two coders were evaluated one more time and assigned the proper code.
Switzerland

33 Data collector
M.I.S. Trend SA, Lausanne, Switzerland

34 Depositor
Michèle Ernst Stähli, FORS, Switzerland

35 Funding agency
SNFS (Swiss National Science Foundation)

36 Grant number
Research Infrastructure Nr. 170373

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named: No
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named: Yes
HH_BIRTH: No
HH_Kish: No
Address_Birth: No
Address_KISH: No
Contact form from Field work organisation: No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
German/Swiss-German, French, Italian

39 Field work period(s)
01.09.18 - 11.02.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level: Yes
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
Geographic units in dataset:
CH01 - Région alémanique (VD, VS, GE)
CH02 - Espace Mittelland (BE, FR, SO, NE, JU)
CH03 - Nordwestschweiz (BS, BL, AG)
CH04 - Zürich (ZH)
CH05 - Ostschweiz (GL, SH, AR, AI, SG, GR, TG)
CH06 - Zentralschweiz (LU, UR, SZ, OW, NW, ZG)
CH07 - Ticino (TI)

41 Geographic coverage
Switzerland

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling Frame: SRPH (Population register: Stichprobenrahmen für Personen- und Haushaltserhebungen)

Sampling Design:
It is essentially a stratified two-stage sample of residents aged 15 and over, with approximately equal probabilities. In order to avoid multiple selections of units within the same households and unnecessary repeated selections of households over time, a two-stage procedure and coordinated Poisson sampling procedure in the first stage is applied. Stratification by NUTS2 (7 regions) ensures that all regions are proportionally represented in the gross sample (particularly important in region Ticino, which is often oversampled). The sampling in each stratum is done using Poisson sampling and is therefore neither systematic nor stratified. The Poisson procedure can result in an actual sample size that is not exactly the desired sample size but differs only slightly. Stratification by the seven great regions is used in order to better control the size of the sample. The inclusion probabilities in the different regions are identical. At the first stage, households are selected with probability proportional to the number of persons 15+ in the household. At the second stage, one individual is selected from each household.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
### 43 Fieldwork procedures

#### 43.1 Interviewer selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of interviewers:</th>
<th>57 CAPI + 25 CATI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS:</td>
<td>37 CAPI (je n'ai pas l'info pour les CATI, mais je peux la chercher si c'est important)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0

Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 10

#### 43.2 Briefing of interviewers

| How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: | 82 |
| Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: | more than 8 hours |
| Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: | Yes |
| Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: | No |
| Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: | Yes |
| Was the ESS briefing example interview ("dummy interview") conducted using the guidance provided: | No |
| Training in refusal conversion: | Yes |
| Training on how to fill in contact forms: | Yes |
| Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: | Yes |

**Materials on observable and dwelling information:**

| Photos: | Yes |
| Video-tape recording: | No |
| If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? | Yes |

#### 43.3 Employment status of interviewers

| Free-lance interviewers: | Yes |
| Employees of the fieldwork organisation: | Yes |
| Other: | No |

#### 43.4 Payments of interviewers

| Hourly rate: | Yes |
| Per completed interview: | Yes |
| Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): | No |
| A regular fixed salary: | No |
| Bonus arrangement: | Yes |
| Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: | Yes |
| Other: | No |

**If bonus arrangement or other, please specify:**

Interviewers are paid per completed interview and compensated with a lump-sum for each contact attempt resp. for travel fees.

Additionally to the CAPI interviewers, the fieldwork agency hired CATI interviewers (for contact attempts ONLY). These were remunerated on an hourly basis.

#### 43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample
units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: No
Other No
please specify:

43.6 Call schedules
First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 5
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 1
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 1

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: Yes
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: No
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes
Please specify: Additionnally to the unconditional CHF 10.- cash incentive sent along the advance letter, all respondents received a telescopic umbrella. This conditional incentive was appreciated by the interviewers and respondents.

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes
Description of other response enhancing measures:
For respondents:
- Sampled units were sent an advance letter including a brochure with details on the ESS.
- The fieldwork agency provided a tollfree hotline for respondents.
- Non-contacts were sent a reminder letter showing a hotline number and including response card as possible means to make an appointment for an interview.
- Interviewers used an internationally comparing graph on the relationship between satisfaction with life and economic performance to demonstrate analyses done with ESS data; french speaking interviewers also used the translated Topline Results on health
- For refusal conversions, they could also show and offer a national booklet with findings of ESS 2016.
- Accommodated on the FORS website, there is a respondent-specific web-page (in German and French) that was made available to the whole sample.

For interviewers:
Interviewers were regularly sent a dashboard with their outcomes, comparing them to the target and mean. Outcomes close to target or above mean were in green, those below in red (or orange). So they could see at a glance where they have to put more efforts (contacts, avoid refusals, etc.). The conditional non-monetary incentive aims at making them feel more confortable in the interaction with the respondents. They are so able to offer something back after the interview.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
Following a reminder via letter, refusals were contacted face-to-face by a specially trained and experienced interviewer.
Refusals announced by the sample units directly at FORS or at the survey agencies were not reissued for conversion. For the conversion attempts, interviewers could use a twelve-page booklet with findings of previous ESS-rounds, and the French-speaking also the Topline Results on health. After the face-to-face refusal conversion procedure, all non-respondents with a known fixed-line telephone number where contacted by phone.

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 23.07.18 - 25.07.18
Number of pretest interviews: 50 (20 German, 20 French, 10 Italian)

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
- face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey): Yes
- cognitive interviews: No
- tape recording of interviews: No
- video recording of interviews: No
- other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
- check the translations: Yes
- check the CAPI script / routing: Yes
- check the PAPI questionnaire / routing: No

44 Control operation
44.1 Interviews
Number of units selected for back-check: 1542
Number of back-checks achieved: 589
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 589
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: Yes
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: No
Other, please describe: interview conducted face to face? interviewers behaviour (neutrality)? mode of 1st contact? incentives handed over? etc.

44.2 Ineligibles
Number of units selected for back-check: 
Number of back-checks achieved: 
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: 

45 Cleaning operations
45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
Additionally to the checks through the pretests, every language version has been controlled by the survey organization as well as by members of the Swiss ESS team,
before and after the pretest. Using a comprehensive range of respondent-scenarios, two native researchers per language (one in Italian) tested CAPI programming on consistency of routing, wording and lay-out.

46 Deviations

DEVIATION:

C25 (LNGHOM2): due to administrative change in capi between round 8 and 9, the share of respondents reporting use of a second language at home is substantially lower in round 9 compared to round 8.

ANONYMISATION:

C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

C28 (FBRNCNCTC), C30 (MBRNCNCTC): A few substantive responses have been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

F2 (GNDRn) F3 (YRBRNn): A few substantive answers have been substituted by the national team.

F26 (NJBSPV): Variable have been top coded to 300 by the national team.

F31 (NACER2): A few substantive answers have been substituted by the national team.

F33-34a (ISCO08), F47-49 (ISCO08P): A number of substantive responses have been rounded to more common occupations by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPAn):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPAn is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO): Variable has been top coded to 500.

47 Response rates

47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals) 3015
0 Contact forms missing 0
10 Valid interview 1542
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Refusal by respondent</td>
<td>656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Refusal by proxy</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Household refusal, before selection</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Respondent not available, away</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Respondent deceased</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Language barrier</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Contact but no interview, other</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term)</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Respondent moved out of country</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Respondent moved to unknown destination</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Respondent has moved, still in country</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Address not traceable</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Derelict or demolished house</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 Not occupied</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 Address not residential: business</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 Address not residential: institution</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 Other ineligible</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88 Undefined</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response rate:</strong></td>
<td><strong>51.81%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 48 Estimates of Sampling error

### 49 Weighting

Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

- Number of realised stages: 2
- Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 0
- Initial weights above 4: -
- IDNOs of initial weights above 4: -
- DeffP: 1,000

### 50 Other study-related materials

#### 50.7 Occupation coding

**Classification used in coding of occupation:**

- First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: **No**
- First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: **No**
- No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: **Yes**

**Occupation coding procedures:**

When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:

- At the time of the interview: **No**
- Later on: **Yes**
**Number of occupation coders:**

2

**Coding procedures:**

Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No

Was an adjudicator used: Yes

If yes, was adjudication done:

by sampling No

on difficult cases Yes

Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: Yes

**Short description of coding and checking procedures:**

When answering the first occupation question (title of occupation), the interviewers could choose a denomination from the comprehensive occupation list of the SFSO (Swiss Federal Statistical Office). The closest denominations were filtered while entering the text. If nothing corresponded, a free text could be entered. In any case, a description of the main activities had to be given as open text. The list of the SFSO also proposes a bridging to the ISCO-08 codes. The codes were however checked with the open text of the second question.

Difficult cases were discussed with a team member. Information about supervision and the organisation was used to check and decide ambiguous cases. Coding finally was validated via crossing with education: cases with suspicious combinations (high education, high ISCO and vice versa) were controlled.
United Kingdom

33 Data collector
NatCen Social Research

34 Depositor
Alun Humphrey, National Coordinator

35 Funding agency
Economic & Social Research Council

36 Grant number
FWRECR17109ESRC

37 Mode of data collection
37.1 Main questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI

37.2 Contact form questionnaire
Computer assisted personal interview, CAPI: Yes
Paper and pencil interview, PAPI: No

37.3 Type of contact form
Standard ESS contact form
As is
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH No
Adapted by NC/Field work organisation
Individual_Named No
HH_BIRTH No
HH_Kish No
Address_Birth No
Address_KISH Yes
Contact form from Field work organisation No

38 Type of research instrument
Structured questionnaires in:
English

39 Field work period(s)
31.08.18 - 22.02.19

40 Geographic unit
Have there been any changes in the region variable (REGIONxx) since the last round? No
Statistical inference possible at the regional level? No
Is your country part of the NUTS nomenclature or Eurostat's statistical regions for EFTA and Candidate countries? Yes
Suggested grouping of the regional categories:
The sample design stipulated a representative sample of those aged 15+ in the UK. Given the varying population sizes across regions, the sample sizes in each region also vary. While it is possible to group together some neighbouring regions, as below, some regions are still too small to produce representative samples on a regional level. In particular, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland are treated as different countries under the United Kingdom and so we do not recommend merging them together; they are too small to analyse on their own.

North (North East, North West, Yorkshire and Humber)
Midlands (East Midlands and West Midlands)
South (East of England, South East, South West)
Wales
Scotland
Northern Ireland

NUTS level in data file

Geographic units in dataset:
UKC - North East (England)
UKD - North West (England)
UKE - Yorkshire and the Humber
UKF - East Midlands (England)
UKG - West Midlands (England)
UKH - East of England
UKI - London
UKJ - South East (England)
UKK - South West (England)
UKL - Wales
UKM - Scotland
UKN - Northern Ireland

41 Geographic coverage
The United Kingdom excluding the areas north of the Caledonian Canal, the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands.

42 Sampling procedure
Sampling Frame:
Postcode Address File (PAF).

Sampling Design:
The sample design involves the selection of Postcode sectors, PAF addresses, dwellings, households, persons in 3 (in a few cases 5) sampling stages, use of systematic sampling at the first two stages and Kish’s procedure in the third stage. The first stage is to select a sample of 390 Primary Sampling Units (PSUs). These PSUs will be postcode sectors. The PSUs will be explicitly stratified by region, then implicitly within each region by Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) tertile group, then within each of these groups, by the percentage of households that are privately rented and additionally (where appropriate) by the percentage of pensioners. All three statistics are at the postcode sector level. Once the sample of PSUs is selected, the second stage is to randomly select addresses within each sector. Having selected a random sample of addresses, the final stage of the process is to select one person per address to take part in the survey.

42.1 Use of opt-out list
Does some kind of opt-out list exist in your country? No
When does the opt-out list take effect:
43 Fieldwork procedures

43.1 Interviewer selection

Total number of interviewers: 312
Number of interviewers with previous experience from working with the ESS: 110
Number of interviewers who have interviewer experience, but who have not done any face-to-face interviewing work before: 0
Number of interviewers who have not done any interviewing work before (regardless of the survey, mode or sample type): 20

43.2 Briefing of interviewers

How many of the interviewers received ESS specific personal briefing?: 312
Total length of ESS specific personal briefing(s) per interviewer: 4-8 hours
Were the written ESS specific instructions provided to interviewers: Yes
Was the ESS Interviewer Manual provided to interviewers: Yes
Were the ESS PPT presentation templates used for briefing: Yes
Was the ESS briefing example interview (“dummy interview”) conducted using the guidance provided: Yes
Training in refusal conversion: Yes
Training on how to fill in contact forms: Yes
Training on how to fill in observable and dwelling information: Yes

Materials on observable and dwelling information:

Photos: Yes
Video-tape recording: No
If yes to photos used, were these adapted to suit the situation in your country? Yes

43.3 Employment status of interviewers

Free-lance interviewers: Yes
Employees of the fieldwork organisation: No
Other: No

43.4 Payments of interviewers

Hourly rate: No
Per completed interview: Yes
Assignment fee (set fee for working on a set of sample units): No
A regular fixed salary: No
Bonus arrangement: No
Payment for contact form / timely reporting of contact attempts: Yes
Other: Yes

If bonus arrangement or other, please specify:
Admin fee per address

43.5 Advance information

Please confirm how you made the ESS9 information brochure available to the sample units:
Mailed out with an advance letter: Yes
Made available by interviewer on doorstep: Yes
Other: No

please specify:
43.6 Call schedules
First contact by: Visit
Number of minimum required visits per respondent/sampling unit in total: 6
Number of visits required to be on a weekend: 2
Number of visits required to be in the evening: 2

43.7 Respondent incentives
Respondent incentives: Yes
Unconditional monetary incentives, paid before the interview: No
Conditional monetary incentives, upon completion of the interview: No
Unconditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), provided before the interview: Yes
Conditional non-monetary incentives (incl. vouchers), upon completion of the interview: Yes
Please specify:
£5 Post Office Payout voucher included with advance letter. Recipients have to present the letter at a Post Office where they receive £5 in cash. In addition, each interviewer had 2 x £25 gift vouchers they could use as conditional incentives at their discretion. Finally at reissue, £25 conditional vouchers were used for all cases reissued.

Other response enhancing measures:
Use of other response enhancing measures (call-center, web-pages, etc.): Yes

Description of other response enhancing measures:
A bespoke survey website was provided, detailed in the advance letter. A freephone number was provided to provide more information, staffed by trained telephone interviewers. The advance letter contained a series of FAQ.

43.8 Strategies for refusal conversion
Strategies for refusal conversion: Yes
If yes, please describe:
All interviewers were trained in refusal conversion using the examples provided in the ESS briefing instructions. Workshops were undertaken where each of the reasons for refusal highlighted in the instructions were considered and counter-arguments discussed. Initially unproductive cases were reissued to a different interviewer. 1,901 cases were reissued for interviewers to attempt an interview with a increased £25 conditional incentive available.

43.9 Pretest
The period in which the pretest interviews were held: 18.06.18 - 09.07.18
Number of pretest interviews: 33

Which techniques were used in the pre-test?
face-to-face interviews (like in the main survey) Yes
cognitive interviews No
tape recording of interviews No
video recording of interviews No
other, please describe:

What was the main purpose of the pre-test?
check the translations No
check the CAPI script / routing Yes
check the PAPI questionnaire / routing No
44 Control operation

44.1 Interviews

Number of units selected for back-check: 285
Number of back-checks achieved: 217
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 217
Type of back-check: Personal or Telephone: Telephone
Was there at least one respondent back-checked from each interviewer: No
Was there at least one ineligible back-checked from each interviewer: No
Were the back-checks conducted by someone else than the interviewer responsible for achieving the fieldwork outcome code: Yes
Checks on the selection of respondents: Yes
Checks on whether an interview was indeed conducted: Yes
Checks if showcards were used: Yes
Checks that a device, such as a laptop, was used: Yes

44.2 Ineligibles

Number of units selected for back-check: 28
Number of back-checks achieved: 1
Number of units where outcome was confirmed: 1
Type of back-check: Personal, Telephone or Mail: Personal

45 Cleaning operations

45.1 Checking and control of main questionnaire CAPI program(s)
The CAPI program was checked in full against the questionnaire provided by the ESS team by members of the national coordination team. Question wording, answer options, labels, showcard instructions, on-screen interviewer instructions and routing were all systematically checked in this way.

46 Deviations

DEVIATION:

D14 (GGCHLD): Filter error. 31 respondents, who should not have had this question, have been routed to this question due to an error in the CAPI script. Thus, this question has substantive data for additionally 31 interviews.

F61 (ANCTRY1, ANCTRY2): In cases where respondents have mentioned two ancestries, these are recorded randomly (not in the order in which they were mentioned). Thus, ANCTRY1 is not necessarily the first answer given. For this reason, there is a difference in the distribution of responses between ESS round 8 and 9.

Ha-Hu (IPCRTIV-IMPFUN): Data for question Ha (IPCRTIV) through Hu (IMPFUN) is missing (99) for 4 interviews due to poor interview quality.

VARIABLE HARMONISATION:

F15 (EDULVLB), F15 (EISCED), F44 (EDULVLPB), F44 (EISCEDP), F52 (EDULVLFB), F52 (EISCEDF), F56 (EDULVLMB), F56 (EISCEDM): The underlying national questionnaire items have changed since previous rounds. Please see Appendix 1 Education.

ANONYMISATION:
C12 (RLGDNM, RLGDNGB) C14 (RLGDNME, RLGDEGB): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999) by the national team.

C25 (LNGHOM1, LNGHOM2): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999) by the national team.

C21 (CTZSHIPD), C23 (CNTBRTHD): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999), or been aggregated to a broader category by national team.

C28 (FBRNCNTC), C30 (MBRNCNTC): A few substantive responses have been coded to missing (9999), or been aggregated to a broader category by the national team.

F31 (NACER2): A few substantive answers has been coded to missing (9999) by the national team.

F33-34a (ISCO08), F47-49 (ISCO08P): A number of substantive responses have been rounded to more common occupations by the national team.

F61 (ANCTRY1, ANCTRY2): A few substantive answers have been coded to missing (9999) by the national team.

F3 (AGEA): Variable is top coded to 90.

Household Grid variables F1, F3-F4 (HHMMB, YRBRN, YRBRN2-YRBRNn, RSHIPA2-RSHIPA):
- All respondents born in 1928 or earlier are coded 1928.
- All respondents where RSHIPA2 - RSHIPA is 2 (Son/daughter/foster-/step-/adopted) and YRBRN2-YRBRNn is 1938 or less are coded 1938.
- All cases where respondent lives in a household with more than 10 children, YRBRNn and RSHIPn for child number 11 and upwards have been set to missing (9999, 99).
- Household size variable (HHMMB) is top coded to 20.

C24 (LIVECNTA), D3 (LVPNTYR): All respondents born 1928 or earlier coded 1928.

D2 (PDEMPYR), D5 (LVPTNYR), D7 (MARYR), D10 (FCLDBRN), D11 (YCLDBYR): All cases with value 1938 or less are coded 1938.

D9 (NBTHCLD): Variable has been top coded to 10.

F22 (EMPLNO), F26 (NJBSPV): Variables have been top coded to 500. A few substantive answers has also been set to missing (9999) by the national team.

47 Response rates
47.1 Break down of response and non response, main questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of issued sample units (addresses, households or individuals)</td>
<td>5850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Contact forms missing</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Valid interview</td>
<td>2204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Partial interview: break off</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Invalid interview</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Non-contact</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refusal because of opt-out list</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Broken appointment</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
32 Refusal by respondent 1190
33 Refusal by proxy 212
34 Household refusal, before selection 683
41 Respondent not available, away 160
42 Respondent mentally ill/physical unable/ill/sick (short term) 19
43 Respondent deceased 0
44 Language barrier 54
45 Contact but no interview, other 187
46 Respondent mentally/physically unable/ill/sick (long term) 94
51 Respondent moved out of country 0
52 Respondent moved to unknown destination 0
53 Respondent has moved, still in country 0
54 Address not traceable 5
61 Derelict or demolished house 16
62 Not yet built, not ready for occupation 16
63 Not occupied 315
64 Address not residential: business 56
65 Address not residential: institution 9
67 Other ineligible 67
88 Undefined 4
Response rate: 41.04%

48 Estimates of Sampling error

49 Weighting

Basic characteristics of the design weight calculation process:

Number of realised stages: 5
Number of initial weights above 4 times the mean: 15
Initial weights above 4: 4.47, 4.47, 4.97, 4.97, 5.46, 5.96, 5.96, 7.94, 7.94, 7.94, 8.94,
9.93, 12.41, 15.89, 22.34
IDNOs of initial weights above 4: 31362, 46343, 25058, 34950, 13353, 38216, 33305,
47081, 39025, 43195, 35052, 2587, 38690, 19615, 25184
DeffP: 1,319

50 Other study-related materials

50.7 Occupation coding

Classification used in coding of occupation:
First coded to a national classification based on ISCO and then bridged to match the ISCO08: No
First coded to a national classification and then bridged to match the ISCO08: Yes
No previous coding, occupation coded directly into the 4-digit ISCO08: No

Occupation coding procedures:
When did the coding of occupation for respondent and partner take place:
At the time of the interview: No
Later on: Yes

Number of occupation coders:
2
Coding procedures:
Were any occupation strings coded by more than one coder (double coding): No
Was an adjudicator used: No
If yes, was adjudication done: by sampling on difficult cases
Is some kind of automatic/semiautomatic coding used in the coding process: Yes

**Short description of coding and checking procedures:**
Occupation coding was undertaken using computer-assisted occupation coding using a Blaise Trigram coding module developed by the Office for National Statistics. From SOC2010 we used the National Statistics conversion matrix to obtain ISCO08. Coding to other standards was undertaken using an edit version of the Blaise questionnaire program. Coders were briefed and given written coding instructions. The coders' initial work was checked by a member of the project team to ensure is was being administered correctly.